Probability Surveys
Vol. 22 (2025) 1–75
ISSN: 1549-5787
https://doi.org/10.1214/25-PS30

Rényi divergences in central limit theorems: Old and new

Sergey G. Bobkov[*1]

[1] *School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, USA*

Friedrich Götze[†2]

[2] *Faculty of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, Germany*

Abstract: We give an overview of various results and methods related to information-theoretic distances of Rényi type in the light of their applications to the central limit theorem (CLT). The first part (Sections 1–9) is devoted to the total variation and the Kullback-Leibler distance (relative entropy). In the second part (Sections 10–15) we discuss general properties of Rényi and Tsallis divergences of order $\alpha > 1$, and then in the third part (Sections 16–21) we turn to the CLT and non-uniform local limit theorems with respect to these strong distances. In the fourth part (Sections 22–31), we discuss recent results on strictly subgaussian distributions and describe necessary and sufficient conditions which ensure the validity of the CLT with respect to the Rényi divergence of infinite order.

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 60E, 60F.
Keywords and phrases: Central limit theorem, Rényi divergence.

Received June 2024.

Contents

[*] University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
[†] Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany

1. Rényi and Tsallis divergences. Basic definitions

Representing strong information-theoretical directional distances without the symmetry property, Rényi's divergences allow one to effectively explore various approximation problems in Probability and Statistics (not to mention Information Theory). They are defined in the most abstract setting and do not require any topological structure. Let us start with basic notations and general relations.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$ be a measurable space. Given random elements X and Y in Ω with distributions P and Q respectively, pick up a σ-finite measure μ on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$ such that P and Q are absolutely continuous with respect to μ and have densities

$$p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}, \quad q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}.$$

Given a parameter $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, the Rényi's divergence of P from Q of order/index α, called also the relative α-entropy, is then defined by

$$D_\alpha(X||Y) = D_\alpha(P||Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \int \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^\alpha q \, d\mu. \tag{1.1}$$

This quantity is determined by the couple (P, Q) only and does not depend on the choice of the measure μ (one may take $\mu = P+Q$, for example). A closely related functional with a similar property is the Tsallis distance

$$T_\alpha(X||Y) = T_\alpha(P||Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left[\int \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^\alpha q\, d\mu - 1\right]. \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly, $0 \le D_\alpha \le \infty$, and $D_\alpha = 0$ if and only if $P = Q$, and similarly for T_α. Since

$$T_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left[\exp\{(\alpha-1)\, D_\alpha\} - 1\right],$$

we have $D_\alpha \le T_\alpha$, and moreover – both distances are of a similar order, when they are small. Hence, approximation problems in D_α and T_α are equivalent.

For the range $0 < \alpha < 1$, the right-hand sides in (1.1)–(1.2) are finite. In this case, D_α and T_α are topologically equivalent to the total variation distance

$$\|P - Q\|_{\mathrm{TV}} = \int |p - q|\, d\mu$$

between P and Q, which may be seen from

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}\, \|P - Q\|_{\mathrm{TV}}^2 \le D_\alpha(P||Q) \le \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\, \|P - Q\|_{\mathrm{TV}}. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, the upper bound is elementary, while the lower bound represents an extended Pinsker-type inequality due to Gilardoni [37].

The specific value $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ leads in (1.2) to a function of the Hellinger metric $H(P, Q)$, namely

$$H^2(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2}\int (\sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q})^2\, d\mu = \frac{1}{2}\, T_{1/2}(P||Q).$$

It is symmetric in (P, Q) and satisfies $0 \le H(P, Q) \le 1$.

The functions $\alpha \to D_\alpha$ and $\alpha \to T_\alpha$ are non-decreasing, so that one may naturally define these distances for the value $\alpha = 1$, by taking the limits $D_1 = \lim_{\alpha\uparrow 1} D_\alpha$ and $T_1 = \lim_{\alpha\uparrow 1} T_\alpha$. In fact, $T_1 = D_1 = D$, where

$$D(X||Y) = D(P||Q) = \int p \log \frac{p}{q}\, d\mu \tag{1.4}$$

is the classical information divergence, also called the relative entropy, or Kullback-Leibler distance. For the finiteness of $D(P||Q)$, it is necessary, although not sufficient in general, that P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q. The latter is equivalent to the implication $p(x) = 0 \Rightarrow q(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in \Omega$.

Anyhow, (1.3) is extended to the value $\alpha = 1$ by monotonicity, which yields the Pinsker-type inequality due to Csiscár [31] and Kullback [46]

$$D(P||Q) \ge \frac{1}{2}\, \|P - Q\|_{\mathrm{TV}}^2.$$

It may be strengthened in terms of weighted total variation distances. As was shown by Bolley and Villani [26], for any measurable function $w \geq 0$ on Ω,

$$\Big(\int |p-q|\, w\, d\mu \Big)^2 \leq c\, D(P||Q) \tag{1.5}$$

with constant

$$c = c_Q(w) = 2\Big(1 + \log \int e^{w^2}\, q\, d\mu\Big).$$

When $w = 1$, (1.5) yields the Pinsker-type inequality with an additional factor 2.

The orders/indexes $\alpha > 1$ lead to much stronger Rényi/Tsallis distances, that are defined by (1.1)–(1.2) with the assumption that the probability measure P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q; otherwise $D_\alpha(X||Y) = T_\alpha(X||Y) = \infty$. For example, in the particular case $\alpha = 2$, we obtain the Pearson χ^2-distance

$$T_2(X||Y) = \chi^2(X,Y) = \int \frac{(p-q)^2}{q}\, d\mu.$$

Since the monotonicity of the functions D_α and T_α continues to hold in the region $\alpha > 1$, one may define the Rényi divergence of infinite order $D_\infty = \lim_{\alpha\uparrow\infty} D_\alpha$. It is easy to see that

$$D_\infty(X||Y) = \log \operatorname{ess\,sup} \frac{p}{q}, \tag{1.6}$$

where the essential supremum is understood with respect to the measure μ.

However, $\lim_{\alpha\uparrow\infty} T_\alpha(X||Y) = \infty$ when $P \neq Q$. Nevertheless, analogously to (1.1)–(1.2), it makes sense to consider the quantity

$$T_\infty(X||Y) = \operatorname{ess\,sup} \frac{p-q}{q} \tag{1.7}$$

and call it the Tsallis distance of P from Q of infinite order. Note that $T_\infty = \exp(D_\infty) - 1$, so, both distances are of a similar order, when they are small, and we still have $D_\infty \leq T_\infty$.

Similarly to the total variation, all Rényi and Tsallis distances satisfy the following contractivity property: If a map $S : \Omega \to \Omega$ is measurable, then for the images (distributions) $P_S = PS^{-1}$ and $Q_S = QS^{-1}$, we have

$$D_\alpha(P_S||Q_S) \leq D_\alpha(P||Q), \quad T_\alpha(P_S||Q_S) \leq T_\alpha(P||Q). \tag{1.8}$$

Hence, these distances are invariant under isomorphisms of measurable spaces: If S is bijective and measurable together with its inverse S^{-1}, then

$$D_\alpha(P_S||Q_S) = D_\alpha(P||Q), \quad T_\alpha(P_S||Q_S) = T_\alpha(P||Q).$$

The χ^2-distance may also be regarded as a particular member of the family of Pearson-Vajda distances

$$\chi_\alpha(X,Z) = \chi_\alpha(P,Q) = \int \Big|\frac{p-q}{q}\Big|^\alpha q\, d\mu, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

Again, this quantity does not depend on the choice of the dominating measure μ. The function $\chi_\alpha^{1/\alpha}$ is non-decreasing in α, and when $\alpha = 1$, we arrive at the total variation distance between P and Q. The distances $T_\alpha = T_\alpha(P||Q)$ and $\chi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha(P||Q)$ are metrically equivalent. Namely, if $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$T_\alpha \le \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\Big[\big(1 + \chi_\alpha^{1/\alpha}\big)^\alpha - 1\Big], \tag{1.9}$$

and conversely,

$$T_\alpha \ge \frac{3}{16}\,\min\{\chi_\alpha, \chi_\alpha^{2/\alpha}\} \ \ (1 < \alpha \le 2), \qquad T_\alpha \ge \alpha\, 3^{-\alpha}\,\chi_\alpha \ \ (\alpha \ge 2). \tag{1.10}$$

For various properties and applications of these distances, we refer an interested reader to [49], [32], [67], [34], [71] and [16].

2. Central limit theorem in total variation

In information-theoretic variants of the central limit theorems, one chooses for Q the standard Gaussian measure on the Euclidean space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with its Borel σ-algebra $\mathfrak{F}$ and the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$, thus with density

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{dQ(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\, e^{-|x|^2/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ_d on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In the sequel, we denote by Z a standard normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, hence distributed according to $Q = P_Z$.

Let us start with a model of i.i.d. (independent identically distributed) random vectors $(X_k)_{k\ge 1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a common distribution P having mean zero and identity covariance matrix. According to the classical central limit theorem, the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

are weakly convergent in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to the standard normal law P_Z on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is often written as $Z_n \Rightarrow Z$. The weak convergence means that

$$\mathbb{E}\, u(Z_n) \to \mathbb{E}\, u(Z)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any bounded continuous function u on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Whether or not there is a convergence in a stronger sense, including information-theoretic distances, depends on the common distribution P like in the following:

Theorem 2.1. *For any fixed $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have*

$$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

if and only if, for some n, the distribution P_{Z_n} of Z_n has a non-zero absolutely continuous component with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In particular, if P has a density, then all P_{Z_n} have densities, and (2.1) holds.

Theorem 2.1 is a reformulation of a result by Prokhorov [63] which provides a similar characterization for the convergence

$$\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \to 0 \tag{2.2}$$

(recall that D_α and the total variation distance are topologically equivalent, as emphasized in (1.3)). Thus, (2.2) holds true, if and only if

$$\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} < 2 \quad \text{for some } n.$$

Note that the total variation distance may take values in the interval $[0, 2]$, and the maximal possible value $\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} = 2$ is attained if and only if the measures P_{Z_n} and P_Z are orthogonal, that is, when P_{Z_n} is supported on a set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of Lebesgue measure zero.

If P_{Z_n} have densities p_n for all large n, the properties (2.1)–(2.2) may be stated as the convergence of densities in the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e.

$$\int |p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|\, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

For the proof, Prokhorov introduced the method of decomposition of densities which proved to be useful in many further investigations of the CLT for strong distances. In particular, with this method Ranga Rao and Varadarajan [64] showed that, if Z_n have densities p_n for all large n, then necessarily

$$p_n(x) \to \varphi(x) \tag{2.4}$$

almost everywhere. Hence, (2.1)–(2.2) appear as a consequence of this pointwise convergence by applying Scheffe's lemma.

The question of when Z_n have some densities for all large n in terms of P or in terms of its characteristic function (Fourier-Stieltjes) transform

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{i\langle t, X_1\rangle} = \int e^{i\langle t,x\rangle}\, dP(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is rather delicate and is open in general. On the other hand, there is a well-known simple integrability (called smoothness) condition

$$\int |f(t)|^\nu\, dt < \infty \quad \text{for some } \nu \geq 1, \tag{2.5}$$

which is equivalent to the stronger property that Z_n have bounded (and actually continuous) densities p_n for all n large enough, cf. [5]. Moreover, (2.5) is equivalent to the strengthened variant of (2.3)–(2.4) in the form of the uniform local limit theorem of Gnedenko,

$$\sup_x |p_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \to 0 \tag{2.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$, as well as to the convergence of densities in any L^s-space

$$\|p_n - \varphi\|_s = \Big(\int |p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|^s\, dx \Big)^{1/s} \to 0 \tag{2.7}$$

with an arbitrary fixed power $s > 1$ (cf. [39], [61], [62], [8]).

3. Relative entropy with respect to normal laws

For the order $\alpha = 1$, the whole theory aimed at the central limit theorem for D_α has many new interesting features which originate from Information Theory. It has deep connections with other fields, including, for example, the theory of optimal transport. Therefore, in a few next sections, we separately discuss the questions which formally have nothing to do with the convergence problems. As before, Z denotes a standard normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

If X is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for the relative entropy $D(X||Z)$ to be finite, it is necessary that X has a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ_d. In this case, choosing $\mu = \mu_d$, the definition (1.4) with $q = \varphi$ becomes

$$D(X||Z) = \int p(x)\, \log \frac{p(x)}{\varphi(x)}\, dx. \tag{3.1}$$

This functional is finite, if and only if X has a finite second moment $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^2$ and finite Shannon differential entropy

$$h(X) = -\int p \log p\, dx$$

(the latter integral is well-defined in the Lebesgue sense as long as $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^2 < \infty$, although it may take the value $-\infty$). A similar description is valid for the relative entropy $D(X||Z')$ with respect to any normal random vector Z' having a density on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In this connection, it is natural to ask about the best approximation in D over all normal laws, that is, about the D-distance of P from the class of all normal distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$D(X) = \inf D(X||Z') \tag{3.2}$$

with infimum over all Z' as above. This infimum is attained when the means $a = \mathbb{E}X = \mathbb{E}Z'$ and covariance matrices $R = \mathrm{cov}(X) = \mathrm{cov}(Z')$ of X and Z' coincide. In this case, we also have a description by means of entropy via

$$D(X) = D(X||Z') = h(Z') - h(X). \tag{3.3}$$

This follows from the simple algebraic identity

$$\begin{aligned} D(X||Z) &= D(X||Z') + \frac{1}{2}\,|a|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\big(\mathrm{Tr}(R) - \log\,\det(R) - d\big) \\ &= D(X||Z') + \frac{1}{2}\,|a|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\sum_{i=1}^{d}\big(\lambda_i - \log\lambda_i - 1\big), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_i denote the eigenvalues of R, and $\mathrm{Tr}(R)$ and $\det(R)$ denote respectively the trace and the determinant of this matrix.

Thus, if X has mean a and covariance matrix R, then

$$D(X||Z) = D(X) + \frac{1}{2}\,|a|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\sum_{i=1}^{d} \big(\lambda_i - \log \lambda_i - 1\big).$$

In particular, the smallness of $D(X||Z)$ forces X to have a small mean a, while the covariance matrix R has to be close to the unit covariance matrix I_d in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, for example.

By definition, $D(X)$ is invariant under all affine invertible transformations of the space. Hence, in many problems or formulas, one may assume without loss of generality that X has mean zero and identity covariance matrix. For example, in this case, (3.3) becomes

$$D(X) = D(X||Z) = h(Z) - h(X).$$

Another important representation is given by de Bruijn's formula

$$D(X||Z) = \int_0^1 I(X_t||Z)\,\frac{dt}{2t}, \tag{3.4}$$

still assuming that X has mean zero and a unit covariance matrix. Here $X_t = \sqrt{t}X + \sqrt{1-t}Z$ with Z being independent of X, and

$$I(X||Z) = \int \Big|\frac{\nabla p}{p} - \frac{\nabla \varphi}{\varphi}\Big|^2\, p\,dx \tag{3.5}$$

stands for the relative Fisher information hidden in the distribution of a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a smooth density p. More generally, this important distance is well-defined as long as $\sqrt{p}$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

4. Bounds for relative entropy via other distances

The relative entropy with respect to the standard normal law may be connected with more popular and standard distances. Recall that D dominates the total variation. Moreover, applying the inequality (1.5) with weight $w(x) = \frac{1+|x|}{2}$, we obtain a lower bound

$$D(X||Z) \geq \frac{c}{d}\,\bigg(\int (1+|x|)\,|p(x) - \varphi(x)|\,dx\bigg)^2$$

in terms of the weighted total variation distance (up to some absolute constant $c > 0$). It is therefore natural to expect that D can be used to bound various metrics responsible for the convergence of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the weak topology.

One of the most natural such metrics (especially in high dimension) is the Kantorovich transport distance of power order $s \geq 1$, which for Borel probability measures P and Q on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$W_s(P,Q) = \inf_{\mu} \Big(\iint |x-y|^s\,d\mu(x,y)\Big)^{1/s}.$$

Here, the infimum is running over all probability measures μ on the product space $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with marginals P and Q.

The value $W_s^s(P,Q)$ is interpreted as the minimal expense needed to transport P to Q, provided that it costs $|x-y|^s$ to move the "particle" x to the "particle" y. As is well-known, W_s represents a metric in the space of all probability distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite absolute moments of order s ([72]).

One of the remarkable relations between the relative entropy and the quadratic Kantorovich distance was obtained by Talagrand [70]; it indicates that, for any random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with distribution P,

$$D(X||Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\, W_2^2(P,Q), \tag{4.1}$$

where Q denotes the standard normal law on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The advantage of (4.1) is that $D(X||Z)$ is defined explicitly and may be easily computed or estimated in many practical situations, in contrast with W_2.

Upper bounds on the relative entropy are of large interest as well. One classical bound involving the relative Fisher information as defined in (3.5) indicates that

$$D(X||Z) \leq \frac{1}{2}\, I(X||Z) \tag{4.2}$$

for any random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with density p such that $\sqrt{p} \in W_1^2$. In fact, this relation represents an information-theoretic reformulation of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$; it was discovered by Gross [41], but appeared earlier in an equivalent form of the entropic isoperimetric inequality in Stam [69]. Let us refer an interested reader to [23] for the history and some refinements of (4.2) involving the transport distance W_2.

Another example in which the smoothness of the density is not needed was shown in [25]. If a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ has a square integrable density p and satisfies $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^2 = \mathbb{E}\,|Z|^2 = d$, then

$$D(X||Z) \leq c_d\, \Delta_2 \log^{\frac{d}{4}+1}(1/\Delta_2). \tag{4.3}$$

Here

$$\Delta_2 = \|p-\varphi\|_2 = \Big(\int (p(x)-\varphi(x))^2\, dx\Big)^{1/2}$$

is the L^2-distance between p and φ (assuming that $\Delta_2 \leq 1/e$), and c_d is a positive constant depending on the dimension d only.

Consequently, one may also bound $D(X||Z)$ in terms of the uniform or L^∞-distance $\Delta_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x |p(x)-\varphi(x)|$, which is finite when p is bounded. Without referring to (4.3), one can show by similar arguments that

$$D(X||Z) \leq c_d\, \Delta_\infty \log^{\frac{d}{2}+1}(1/\Delta_\infty), \tag{4.4}$$

as long as $\Delta_\infty \leq 1/e$.

By Plancherel's theorem, the inequality (4.3) can be restated in terms of the characteristic functions of X and Z. In dimension $d = 1$, such bounds were explored in [15] by involving Edgeworth corrections. Put

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{itX}, \quad g_\gamma(t) = \Big(1 + \gamma\, \frac{(it)^3}{3!}\Big)\, e^{-t^2/2}$$

with an arbitrary parameter $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming that $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^3 < \infty$ (in which case $f(t)$ has three continuous derivatives), it was proved that

$$D(X||Z) \le \gamma^2 + 4\,\Big(\|f - g_\gamma\|_2 + \|f''' - g_\gamma'''\|_2\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

5. Convexity and monotonicity along convolutions

The D-distance from the class of all normal laws is convex under variance preserving transformations. This follows from the entropy power inequality which was discovered by Shannon and rigorously proved by Stam [69], [34] (in dimension one and subject to minor refining comments).

For a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with density p, define the entropy power

$$N(X) = \exp\Big\{\frac{2}{d}\, h(X)\Big\} = \exp\Big\{-\frac{2}{d}\int p \log p\, dx\Big\},$$

assuming that the last integral exists in the Lebesgue sense. Like the variance in dimension one, this functional is translation invariant and homogeneous of order 2.

Theorem 5.1. *If the random vectors X and Y in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are independent and have densities, then*

$$N(X + Y) \ge N(X) + N(Y), \tag{5.1}$$

provided that the entropies of X, Y, and $X + Y$ exist.

As was shown in [10], it may happen that the entropy of X and Y exists and is finite, while it does not exist for the sum $X + Y$. A more careful formulation of the entropy power inequality is that we should make the convention that $N(X) = 0$ whenever the entropy of X does not exist (including the case where the distribution of X is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure). With this convention, (5.1) holds true without any restriction.

An equivalent variant of (5.1) was proposed by Lieb [52]:

$$h(\sqrt{t}\, X + \sqrt{1-t}\, Y) \ge t h(X) + (1-t)\, h(Y).$$

This inequality holds for all $0 < t < 1$, whenever independent random vectors X and Y in $\mathbb{R}^d$ have densities such that all entropies exist. As a consequence,

$$D(\sqrt{t}\, X + \sqrt{1-t}\, Y||Z) \le t D(X||Z) + (1-t)\, D(Y||Z),$$

provided that X and Y have mean zero. This may be viewed as a convexity of the D-distance along convolutions.

In dimension one the latter relation implies that, given independent random variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ with variances $\sigma_k^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X_k)$ such that $\sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_n^2 = 1$, for the sum $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ we have

$$D(S_n) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k^2 \, D(X_k). \tag{5.2}$$

This suggests that the distributions of S_n have a non-increasing D-distance from the class of all normal laws, as long as X_k are independent and identically distributed. Although this is immediate along the powers $n = 2^m$, the general case is more sophisticated; nevertheless we have the following remarkable result proved by Artstein, Ball, Barthe and Naor [2].

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(X_k)_{k\ge 1}$ be i.i.d. random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ wih finite second moments. Then, for all $n \ge 2$,*

$$D(S_n) \le D(S_{n-1}). \tag{5.3}$$

Recall that $D(S_n) = D(Z_n)$ for the normalized sums $Z_n = S_n/\sqrt{n}$. Hence, an equivalent formulation of (5.3) is that the entropy $h(Z_n)$ represents a non-decreasing sequence.

In [2], a more general property in the non-i.i.d. situation has been also established, cf. also Madiman and Barron [54].

Theorem 5.3. *Given independent random vectors $X_1, \dots, X_n$ wih finite second moments, $n \ge 2$, we have*

$$h\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k\Big) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{j\ne k} X_j\Big).$$

6. Entropic central limit theorem and Orlicz spaces

Let $(X_k)_{k\ge 1}$ be i.i.d. random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ wih mean zero and identity covariance matrix. Consider the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

so that $Z_n \Rightarrow Z$ as $n \to \infty$ weakly in distribution (where we recall that Z denotes a standard normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$).

Since the relative entropy $D(Z_n) = D(Z_n||Z)$ dominates $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, it is natural to expect that the normal approximation in D requires additional hypotheses on the underlying distribution. A final conclusion is however similar to the CLT in the total variation norm.

Theorem 6.1. *For the convergence*

$$D(Z_n) \to 0 \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \tag{6.1}$$

it is necessary and sufficient that $D(Z_n)$ be finite for some n.

Here the condition that $D(Z_n)$ is finite is the same as the finiteness of entropy $h(Z_n)$. By Theorem 5.2, the convergence in (6.1) is monotone and is equivalent to the monotone convergence of entropies

$$h(Z_n) \uparrow h(Z) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Since the functional D defined in (3.2) is affine invariant, one may also state Theorem 6.1 without moment constraints: Given an i.i.d. sequence X_k with finite second moments, (6.1) holds if and only if $D(Z_n)$ is finite for some n.

Theorem 6.1 is due to Barron [4] who considered the one-dimensional setting. His proof was based on the application of de Bruijn's identity (3.4); this argument was simplified by Harremoës and Vignat [42]. Earlier, an information-theoretic approach to the weak CLT was proposed by Linnik [53], who explored basic properties and behavior on convolutions of the closely related functional

$$L(X) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x)\, dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2\, p(x)\, dx,$$

assuming that a random variable X has mean zero and a bounded density. He emphasized that $L(X) = h(X) - h(Z') + \text{const}$, where Z' is a normal random variable with the same variance as X.

A simple sufficient condition for the validity of the entropic convergence in (6.1) is that Z_n has a bounded density for some n, which is described as the smoothness (integrability) condition (2.5) in terms of the common characteristic function $f(t)$ of X_k. But in that case we have more – a uniform local limit theorem (2.6) and the convergence (2.7) of densities in L^2, which is stronger than the convergence in D according to the upper bounds (4.3)–(4.4) for the relative entropy.

On the other hand, it may happen that (6.1) holds true, while all densities p_n remain unbounded. Generalizing the example in [39], Barron considered the symmetric, compactly supported densities of the form

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |x| > 1/e, \\ \frac{r}{2\,|x|\,\log^{r+1}(1/|x|)}, & \text{if } |x| < 1/e, \end{cases}$$

with parameter $r > 0$. Define the common density of X_k to be $p(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\, w(x/\lambda)$, where the constant $\lambda > 0$ is chosen so that $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$. Near the origin $x = 0$ the n-th convolution power $p^{*n}(x)$ admits a lower bound

$$p^{*n}(x) \geq \frac{c_n}{|x|\,\log^{rn+1}(1/|x|)}$$

with some constant $c_n > 0$. Hence, all densities p_n of Z_n are unbounded in any neighbourhood of zero and therefore do not satisfy a uniform local limit theorem. But, it is easy to check that the entropies $h(Z_n)$ are finite as long as $n > 1/r$. Hence, Z_n do satisfy the entropic CLT.

Using the decomposition of densities, it was shown in [8] that the local limit theorems in the norms of the Lebesgue spaces $L^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Gnedenko and

Prokhorov and the entropic central limit theorem by Barron may be united in a more general statement on the convergence of densities in Orlicz spaces. Given a Young function Ψ, that is, an even convex function on the real line such that $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $\Psi(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, the Orlicz norm of a measurable function u on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_\Psi = \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0 : \int \Psi(u(x)/\lambda)\,dx \le 1\Big\}.$$

For example, the choice of the power function $\Psi(r) = |r|^s$, $s \ge 1$, leads to the L^s-norm $\|u\|_s$. The limit case $\|u\|_\infty$ is also included in this scheme as an Orlicz norm. The extreme role of this norm is explained in particular by a simple observation that, under the normalization condition $\Psi(1) = 1$, we always have

$$\|u\|_\Psi \le \max\{\|u\|_1, \|u\|_\infty\}.$$

This implies in particular that $\|\varphi\|_\Psi$ is finite for all Orlicz norms.

In the setting of Theorem 6.1 (the i.i.d. model on $\mathbb{R}^d$), we have the following characterization. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be one of the Orlicz norms.

Theorem 6.2 ([8])**.** *Suppose that Z_n have densities p_n for large n. For the convergence*

$$\|p_n - \varphi\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{6.2}$$

it is necessary and sufficient that $\|p_n\|$ be finite for some n.

If Ψ satisfies the Δ_2-condition, that is, $\Psi(2r) \le C\Psi(r)$ for all r with some constant C, then (6.2) is equivalent to

$$\int \Psi(p_n(x) - \varphi(x))\,dx \to 0,$$

which holds true if and only if $\int \Psi(p_n(x))\,dx < \infty$ for some n. Thus, Theorem 6.2 unites local limit theorems in all L^s-spaces. As for the entropic CLT, it corresponds to Theorem 6.2 with a particular Young function

$$\psi(r) = |r|\,\log(1 + |r|)$$

in view of the next general characterization of the convergence in D, which was also established in [8].

Theorem 6.3. *Given a sequence of random vectors ξ_n in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with densities p_n, the convergence $D(\xi_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ is equivalent to the following two conditions:*

a) $\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^2 \to d$ *as* $n \to \infty$*;*

b) $\|p_n - \varphi\|_\psi \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$*, or equivalently,*

b′) $\int \psi(p_n(x) - \varphi(x))\,dx \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

7. Rates of convergence in the entropic CLT

Here we describe some results from [14] about the rates of convergence in Theorem 6.1. As in the previous section, we continue to assume that the normalized sums Z_n are defined for the sequence X_k of i.i.d. random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ treated as independent copies of a random vector X with mean zero and identity covariance matrix.

The question about the rates may be attacked under proper moment assumptions. Otherwise, one cannot say anything definite. Indeed, in the one dimensional case, for any sequence of real numbers $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, the random variable X may have a distribution P such that

$$D(Z_n) \geq \varepsilon_n \tag{7.1}$$

for all n large enough. As was shown by Matskyavichyus [56], this is even true for the weaker Kolmogorov distance

$$\rho_n = \sup_x |\mathbb{P}\{Z_n \leq x\} - \mathbb{P}\{Z \leq x\}|.$$

The distribution P with this property may be constructed as a convex mixture of centered Gaussian measures on the real line. Since ρ_n is dominated by the total variation distance between the distributions of Z_n and Z_n, while the latter is dominated by the relative entropy (Pinsker's inequality), we get (7.1) as well.

In order to get an idea about the correct rate of decrease of the relative entropy for growing n, one may note that, in the typical situation, for suitably increasing values T_n,

$$D(Z_n) \sim \int_{|x|<T_n} \frac{(p_n(x) - \varphi(x))^2}{\varphi(x)}\, dx \,+\, \text{small error term}.$$

If T_n is not too large, then the deviations $p_n(x) - \varphi(x)$ are of the order at most $1/\sqrt{n}$ for all points x in the ball $|x| < T_n$. Hence, under proper assumptions, one may expect that $D(Z_n||Z)$ will be of the order at most $1/n$ (this was already conjectured by Johnson [45]). A more precise assertion is given in the following theorem; for simplicity we start with the one dimensional case, thus assuming that X has mean zero and variance one.

Recall that the cumulants of X are defined by

$$\gamma_r = i^{-r}\, \frac{d}{dt} \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{itX}\Big|_{t=0} \quad \big(\mathbb{E}\,|X|^r < \infty,\ r = 1, 2, \dots\big).$$

In particular, $\gamma_3 = \mathbb{E}X^3$ and $\gamma_4 = \mathbb{E}X^4 - 3$ in the case $\gamma_3 = 0$. Put

$$\Delta_n(s) = (n \log n)^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}, \quad s \geq 2,$$

with the convention that $\Delta_n(s) = 1$ for $s = 2$.

Theorem 7.1 ([14]). *Suppose that $D(Z_n)$ is finite for some n, and $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^s < \infty$.*

a) In the case $2 \le s < 4$, we have $D(Z_n) = o(\Delta_n(s))$ as $n \to \infty$.

b) In the case $4 \le s < 6$,

$$D(Z_n) = \frac{c_1}{n} + o(\Delta_n(s)), \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{12}\gamma_3^2.$$

c) In the case $6 \le s < 8$, and if $\gamma_3 = 0$,

$$D(Z_n) = \frac{c_2}{n^2} + o(\Delta_n(s)), \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{48}\gamma_4^2.$$

Part *a)* with $s = 2$ corresponds to Theorem 6.1. As for other values of s, the error term in (7.2) is nearly optimal up to a logarithmic factor, which can be seen from the next assertion.

Theorem 7.2 ([14]). *Let $\eta > 0$ and $2 < s < 4$. There exists an i.i.d. sequence X_k with mean zero, variance one and $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^s < \infty$, such that $D(X) < \infty$ and*

$$D(Z_n) \ge \frac{c}{(n \log n)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (\log n)^{\eta}}, \quad n \ge n_0, \tag{7.2}$$

where n_0 is determined by the distribution of X, and where the constant $c > 0$ depends on s and η only.

Choosing any η in (7.2), we see that $D(Z_n||Z)$ decays at the rate which is worse than $1/n$.

The asymptotic expression in *c)* holds true in particular, as long as the distribution of X is symmetric about the origin, since then $\gamma_3 = 0$. It was also shown in [14] that without constraints on the cumulants, the right-hand sides in $b) - c)$ may be further generalized as an expansion in powers in $1/n$, namely

$$D(Z_n) = \frac{c_1}{n} + \cdots + \frac{c_r}{n^r} + o(\Delta_n(s)), \tag{7.3}$$

where $r = [\frac{s-2}{2}]$ (the integer part) and where every c_j represents a certain polynomial in the cumulants $\gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_{2j+1}$ (hence a polynomial in moments of X up to order $2j+1$). As a particular case, assume that $s \ge 4$ and $\gamma_j = 0$ for all $3 \le j < k$ for some $k = 3, 4, \ldots, [s]$. Then (7.3) is simplified to

$$D(Z_n) = \frac{\gamma_k^2}{2k!} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{k-2}} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^{k-1}}\Big) + o(\Delta_n(s)).$$

Previously, Johnson [J] had noticed (though in terms of the standardized Fisher information) that if $\gamma_k \ne 0$, $D(Z_n)$ cannot be of smaller order than n^{k-2}. A more precise lower bound

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big[n^{k-2} D(Z_n)\Big] \ge \frac{\gamma_k^2}{2k!}$$

was later derived by Harremoës [43].

In the multidimensional case, Theorem 7.1 is extended in a slightly weaker form.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $D(Z_n)$ is finite for some n, and $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^s < \infty$ for an integer $s \geq 2$. Then we have an expansion (7.3) with the error term*

$$\Delta_n(s) = n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\,(\log n)^{-\frac{s-d}{2}}$$

with the convention that $\Delta_n(s) = 1$ for $s = 2$.

In particular, for $s = 2$ we get a multidimensional variant of Theorem 6.1. If $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^4 < \infty$, then $D(Z_n) = O(1/n)$ for $d \leq 4$ and

$$D(Z_n) = O\Big((\log n)^{\frac{d-4}{2}}/n\Big) \quad \text{for } d \geq 5.$$

However, if $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^5 < \infty$, then

$$D(Z_n) = O(1/n)$$

regardless of the dimension d. This slight difference between conclusions for different dimensions is due to the dimension-dependent asymptotic

$$\int_{|x|>T} |x|^2\,\varphi(x)\,dx \;\sim\; c_d T^d\,\varphi(T) \;\; \text{as } T \to \infty.$$

The proof of (the one dimensional) Theorem 7.1 and a more precise expansion (7.3) is based on the non-uniform local limit theorem, in which the density p_n of Z_n is approximated by the Edgeworth correction of the normal density defined by

$$\varphi_m(x) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(x)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-2} \frac{q_\nu(x)}{n^{\nu/2}}, \quad m = [s].$$

Here

$$q_\nu(x) = \sum H_{\nu+2l}(x) \prod_{r=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k_r!}\Big(\frac{\gamma_{r+2}}{(r+2)!}\Big)^{k_r}, \tag{7.4}$$

where the summation runs over all non-negative integer solutions $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_\nu)$ to the equation

$$k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + \nu k_\nu = \nu \;\; \text{with} \;\; l = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_\nu.$$

As usual, H_k denotes the Chebyshev-Hermite polynomial of degree k with the leading coefficient 1. Hence, the sum in (7.4) defines a polynomial in x of degree at most $3(\nu - 2)$. In particular, $\varphi_m(x) = \varphi(x)$ for the range $2 \leq s < 3$.

Theorem 7.4. *Assume that X has a finite absolute moment of a real order $s \geq 2$, and Z_n admits a bounded density for some n. Then, for all n large enough, Z_n have continuous bounded densities p_n satisfying uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$(1 + |x|^m)\,(p_n(x) - \varphi_m(x)) = o\big(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\big) \tag{7.5}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |x|^s)\,(p_n(x) - \varphi_m(x)) \;\; &= \;\; o\big(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\big) + \\ &\big(1 + |x|^{s-m}\big)\,\big(O\big(n^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}\big) + o\big(n^{-(s-2)}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

If $s = m$ is integer, $m \geq 3$, Theorem 7.4 is well known; then (7.5) and (7.6) simplify to

$$(1 + |x|^m)\,(p_n(x) - \varphi_m(x)) = o\big(n^{-\frac{m-2}{2}}\big). \tag{7.7}$$

In this formulation the result is due to Petrov [61]; cf. [62], p. 211, or [5], p. 192. Without the term $1+|x|^m$, the relation (7.7) goes back to the results of Cramér and Gnedenko (cf. [39]).

In the general (fractional) case, Theorem 7.4 has been obtained in [11, 12] by using the technique of Liouville fractional integrals and derivatives. Assertion (7.7) gives an improvement over (7.6) on relatively large intervals of the real axis, and this is essential in the case of non-integer s.

8. Berry-Esseen bounds for total variation

We now consider a general scheme of random variables which are not necessarily identically distributed, focusing on the dimension $d = 1$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent random variables with mean zero and finite variances $\sigma_k^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X_k)$. Assuming that $B_n = \sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_n^2$ is positive, define the normalized sum

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{B_n}}, \tag{8.1}$$

so that $\mathbb{E}Z_n = 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}(Z_n) = 1$.

It is well-known that Z_n is nearly normal in the weak sense under the Lindeberg condition. In order to quantify this property, one usually uses the Lyapunov ratios (coefficients)

$$L_s = \frac{1}{B_n^{s/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\,|X_k|^s, \quad s > 2, \tag{8.2}$$

which are finite as long as all X_k have finite absolute moments of a fixed order s. In a typical situation, these quantities are getting smaller for growing values of s; for example, in the i.i.d. case with $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$, we have

$$L_s = n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\,\mathbb{E}\,|X_1|^s,$$

which has a polynomial decay with respect to the number of "observations" n. On the other hand, in general the function $s \to L_s^{\frac{1}{s-2}}$ is non-decreasing, so that $L_3 \leq \sqrt{L_4}$, for example.

The classical Berry-Esseen bound indicates that

$$\sup_x\, |\mathbb{P}\{Z_n \leq x\} - \mathbb{P}\{Z \leq x\}| \leq cL_3 \tag{8.3}$$

with some universal constant $c > 0$, where Z is a standard normal random variable (cf. e.g. [62]). In the i.i.d. case with $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$, it leads to the well-known estimate

$$\sup_x\, |\mathbb{P}\{Z_n \leq x\} - \mathbb{P}\{Z \leq x\}| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\,\mathbb{E}\,|X_1|^3$$

with a standard rate of normal approximation for the Kolmogorov distance. Note that in general $L_3 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

An interesting question is how to extend the bound (8.3) to strong distances such as the total variation and relative entropy. Note, however, that these distances are useless, for example, when all summands have discrete distributions, in which case $\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} = 2$ and $D(Z_n||Z) = \infty$. Therefore, some assumptions are needed or desirable, such as an absolute continuity of distributions P_{X_k} of X_k. But even with this assumption we cannot exclude the case that our distances from Z_n to the normal law may be growing when the P_{X_k} are close to discrete distributions. To prevent such behaviour, one may require that the densities of X_k should be bounded on a reasonably large part of the real line. This can be guaranteed quite naturally, by using the entropy functional $h(X)$ or equivalently $D(X)$. If the latter is finite, then, for example, the characteristic function $f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{itX}$ is bounded away from 1 at infinity, and moreover

$$|f(t)| \leq 1 - c\, e^{-4D(X)}, \quad \sigma|t| \geq \frac{\pi}{4},$$

where σ is the standard deviation of X, and $c > 0$ is an absolute constant (cf. [13]). Thus, the finiteness of $D(X)$ guarantees that P_X is separated from the class of discrete probability distributions, and if it is small, one may speak about the closeness of P_X to normality in a rather strong sense. Using D for both purposes, one can obtain refinements of Berry-Esseen's inequality (8.3) in terms of the total variation and the entropic distances to normality for the distributions of Z_n. The following statement was proved in [15].

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that the random variables X_k have finite absolute moments of the third order and satisfy $D(X_k) \leq D$ for a number D. Then*

$$\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \leq cL_3, \tag{8.4}$$

where the constant c depends on D only.

In particular, in the i.i.d. case with $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$, we get

$$\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\, \mathbb{E}\,|X_1|^3$$

where the constant c depends on $D(X_1)$ only. Related estimates in the i.i.d.-case were studied by many authors. For example, in the early 1960's Sirazhdinov and Mamatov [68] found an exact asymptotic

$$\|P_{Z_n} - P_Z\|_{\mathrm{TV}} = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{n}}\, |\mathbb{E}X_1^3| + o\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)$$

with some universal constant c_0, which holds under the assumption that the distribution of X_1 has a non-trivial absolutely continuous component. Note that this statement refines Prokhorov's theorem (2.2) under the 3-rd moment assumption.

Returning to Theorem 8.1, it was also shown in [15] that if $L_3 \le \frac{1}{64}$ and

$$D(X_k) \le \frac{1}{24} \log \frac{1}{L_3},$$

then (8.4) holds true with an absolute constant.

The condition in Theorem 8.1 may be stated in terms of maximum of densities. If a random variable X with finite standard deviation σ has a density p such that $p(x) \le M$ for a number M, then X has finite entropy, and moreover

$$D(X) \le \log(M\sigma\sqrt{2\pi e}). \tag{8.5}$$

Indeed, the functional $X \to M\sigma$ with $M = \|p\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x p(x)$ is affine invariant. Hence, (8.5) does not loose generality when X has mean zero with $\sigma = 1$. But then (8.5) immediately follows from

$$D(X) = h(Z) - h(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log \Big(p(x)\sqrt{2\pi e}\Big)\, dx.$$

Thus, in the setting of Theorem 8.1, if the random variables X_k have densities $p_k \le M_k$ such that $M_k\sigma_k \le M$, the inequality (8.5) holds true with a constant c depending M only.

9. Berry-Esseen bounds for relative entropy

Theorem 8.1 has an analogue for the relative entropy, which was derived in [15] in terms of the Lyapunov ratio

$$L_4 = \frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_k^4$$

(cf. the definition (8.2) with $s = 4$). We keep the same setting and assumptions as in the previous section.

Theorem 9.1. *Suppose that the random variables X_k have finite moments of the fourth order and satisfy $D(X_k) \le D$ for a number D. Then*

$$D(Z_n) \le cL_4, \tag{9.1}$$

where the constant c depends on D only. Moreover, if $L_4 \le 2^{-12}$ and

$$D(X_k) \le \frac{1}{48} \log \frac{1}{L_4},$$

then c may be chosen as an absolute constant.

In view of the bound (8.5), we obtain as a consequence that, if the random variables X_k have bounded densities p_k such that $p_k(x) \le M_k$ and $M_k\sigma_k \le M$, the inequality (9.1) holds true with a constant c depending on M only.

One interesting feature of (9.1) is that it may be connected with transport inequalities for the distributions P_{Z_n} of Z_n in terms of the quadratic Kantorovich distance W_2. Indeed, applying Talagrand's entropy-transport inequality (4.1), we conclude that

$$W_2^2(P_{Z_n}, P_Z) \le cL_4, \tag{9.2}$$

where c depends on D. This relation, with an absolute constant c, was discovered by Rio [66], who also studied more general Kantorovich distances W_s, by relating them to Zolotarev's ideal metrics (cf. also [7] for further refinements and generalizations). It has also been noticed in [66] that the 4-th moment condition is essential, so the Laypunov's ratio L_4 in (9.2) cannot be replaced with a function of L_3 including the i.i.d.-case.

In order to obtain the inequality (9.2) in full generality, that is, without any constraints on $D(X_k)$ as in Theorem 9.1, the entropic Berry-Esseen bound (9.1) has to be stated under a different condition.

Theorem 9.2. *If the characteristic function $f_n(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{itZ_n}$ is vanishing outside the interval $|t| \le \frac{1}{4\sqrt{L_4}}$, then (9.1) holds true with an absolute constant c.*

This variant of Theorem 9.1 was proposed in [6], with an argument based on the application of the upper bound (4.5) for the relative entropy in terms of the corrected Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. Combining (9.1) with (4.1), we are led to the desired relation (9.2), however under an additional hypothesis on the support of $f_n(t)$. But, the latter may be removed when applying (9.2) to the smoothed random variables

$$Z_n(\tau) = \sqrt{1-\tau^2}\, Z_n + \tau\xi, \quad 0 < \tau < 1,$$

assuming that the random variable ξ is independent of Z_n and has finite 4-th moment, with $\mathbb{E}\xi = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\xi^2 = 1$, and with characteristic function vanishing on the interval of length of order 1. In that case

$$W_2^2(P_{Z_n(\tau)}, P_{Z_n}) \le \mathbb{E}\,(Z_n(\tau) - Z_n)^2 \le 2\tau^2. \tag{9.3}$$

Hence, if we choose $\tau \sim \sqrt{L_4}$, one may apply Theorem 9.2 to $Z_n(\tau)$, and then the support assumption will be removed in view of (9.3).

Returning to Theorem 9.1, let us note that, in the i.i.d. case with $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$, we get

$$D(Z_n) \le \frac{c}{n}\, \mathbb{E}X_1^4, \tag{9.4}$$

where the constant c depends on $D(X_1)$ only. In fact, according to the second refining part of this theorem, (9.4) holds true with an absolute constant, as long as n is sufficiently large, for example, if

$$n \ge e^{12\,(1+4D(X_1))}\, \mathbb{E}X_1^4.$$

Note also that the inequality (9.4) partly recovers Theorem 7.1 for the power $s = 4$ which yields a more precise asymptotic expression

$$D(Z_n) = \frac{1}{12\,n}\, |\mathbb{E}X_1^3|^2 + o\Big(\frac{1}{n\log n}\Big) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

In place of (9.4), one may also consider a more general scheme of weighted sums

$$Z_n = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n, \quad a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 = 1 \ \ (a_k \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{9.5}$$

assuming that the random variables X_k are independent and identically distributed with mean zero, variance one, and finite 4-th moment. Putting

$$l_4(a) = a_1^4 + \cdots + a_n^4, \quad a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n),$$

(9.1) yields

$$D(Z_n) \le c\, \mathbb{E} X_1^4\, l_4(a), \tag{9.6}$$

where c depends on $D(X_1)$. Berry-Esseen bounds for such weighted sums have been previously studied by Artstein, Ball, Barthe and Naor under the assumption that the distribution of X_1 satisfies a Poincaré-type inequality

$$\lambda_1 \operatorname{Var}(u(X_1)) \le \mathbb{E}\, u'(X_1)^2.$$

It is required to hold with some constant $\lambda_1 > 0$ (called a spectral gap) in the class of all bounded smooth functions u on the real line (note that necessarily $\lambda_1 \le 1$ due to the moment assumption $\mathbb{E} X_1^2 = 1$). It was shown in [3] that

$$D(Z_n) \ \le \ \frac{2 l_4(a)}{\lambda_1 + (2 - \lambda_1)\, l_4(a)}\, D(X_1),$$

or in a slightly modified form

$$D(Z_n) \ \le \ \frac{2 D(X_1)}{\lambda_1}\, l_4(a).$$

As well as in (9.6), here the right-hand side is proportional to $l_4(a)$.

10. Rényi and Tsallis divergences with respect to the normal law

We now turn to Rényi and Tsallis divergences of order $\alpha > 1$ and describe in the next few sections some results taken mostly from [16]. As before, Z denotes a standard normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

If X is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $D_\alpha(X||Z)$ to be finite it is necessary that X have a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ_d on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Choosing $\mu = \mu_d$ in (1.1)–(1.2), these definitions become

$$D_\alpha(X||Z) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \int \Big(\frac{p(x)}{\varphi(x)} \Big)^\alpha \varphi(x)\, dx, \tag{10.1}$$

$$T_\alpha(X||Z) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[\int \Big(\frac{p(x)}{\varphi(x)} \Big)^\alpha \varphi(x)\, dx - 1 \right]. \tag{10.2}$$

This case is rather different compared to the case of the relative entropy ($\alpha = 1$), which can be seen as follows. The finiteness of $D(X||Z)$ means that X has finite second moment $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^2$ and finite entropy $h(X)$, which holds, for

example, when the density p is bounded. But, for the finiteness of $D_\alpha(X||Z)$ with $\alpha > 1$ it is necessary that X be subgaussian, and moreover $\mathbb{E}\, e^{c|X|^2} < \infty$ for all $c < 1/(2\alpha^*)$, where $\alpha^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ is the conjugate index. More precisely, putting

$$T_\alpha = T_\alpha(X||Z), \quad B = \big(1 + (\alpha-1)T_\alpha\big)^{1/\alpha},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{c|X|^2} \le \frac{B}{(1-2\alpha^* c)^{\frac{d}{2\alpha^*}}}. \tag{10.3}$$

It is however possible that $T_\alpha < \infty$, while

$$\mathbb{E}\, \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\alpha^*}|X|^2\Big\} = \infty.$$

An alternative (although almost equivalent) variant of this property may be given via the bound on the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} \le B\, e^{\alpha^*|t|^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{10.4}$$

By Markov's inequality, this implies a subgaussian bound on tail probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}\{\langle \theta, X\rangle \ge r\} \le B \exp\Big\{-\frac{r^2}{2\alpha^*}\Big\}, \quad r \ge 0,$$

for any unit vector θ.

Although the critical value $c = 1/(2\alpha^*)$ may not be included in (10.3), it may be included for sufficiently many convolutions of p with itself. More precisely, consider the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

of independent copies of X. If $n \ge \alpha$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{|Z_n|^2/(2\alpha^*)} < \infty. \tag{10.5}$$

Moreover,

$$\big|\mathbb{E}\, e^{|Z_n|^2/(2\alpha^*)} - \mathbb{E}\, e^{|Z|^2/(2\alpha^*)}\big| \le c_{n,d}\,\big((1+\chi_\alpha^{1/\alpha})^n - 1\big), \tag{10.6}$$

where $\chi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha(X, Z)$ is the Pearson-Vajda distance of order α. According to the relations in (1.10), here the right-hand side may be further bounded in terms of $T_\alpha = T_\alpha(X||Z)$.

The proof of this interesting phenomenon is based upon a careful application of the contractivity property of the Weierstrass transform. One important consequence from it is that the function

$$\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle}\, e^{-\alpha^*|t|^2/2}$$

is vanishing at infinity and is integrable with any power $n \geq \alpha$. Moreover,

$$\int \psi(t)^n \, dt \leq c_{n,d} B^n \tag{10.7}$$

with some constants depending on (n, d) only.

Similar conclusions can be made about the boundedness of densities of Z_n. In section 6 we mentioned an example in which all $D(Z_n)$ are finite (for the parameter $r \geq 1$), while its densities p_n remain unbounded. This is no longer true for D_α.

Indeed, it follows from (10.1)–(10.2) that $p \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as long as T_α is finite. In that case, it belongs to all L^β, $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. Hence, in the case $\alpha \geq 2$ necessarily $p \in L^2$, so, the characteristic function f of X also belongs to L^2, which implies that the density p_2 of Z_2 is bounded and continuous (by the inverse Fourier formula). In the other case $\alpha < 2$, applying the Hausdorff-Young inequality, we obtain that f belongs to the dual space L^{α^*}. Hence f^n is integrable, whenever $n \geq \alpha^*$, which implies that Z_n has a bounded continuous density p_n. Uniting both cases, we conclude that Z_n have bounded continuous densities for all $n \geq n_\alpha = \max(2, \alpha^*)$.

This property may be considerably sharpened in terms of pointwise subgaussian bounds on the densities. Using contour integration, one can prove:

Theorem 10.1 ([16]). *If $T_\alpha(X||Z) < \infty$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the densities p_n of Z_n with $n \geq n_\alpha = \max(2, \alpha^*)$ are continuous and satisfy*

$$p_n(x) \, \leq \, A_{\alpha,d} \, n^{d/2} \, e^{-|x|^2/(2\alpha^*)} \, \psi\Big(\frac{x}{\alpha^* \sqrt{n}}\Big)^{n - n_\alpha}, \tag{10.8}$$

where $A_{\alpha,d}$ depends on (α, d) only. In particular, there exist constants $x_0 > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ depending on the density p of X such that for all n large enough

$$p_n(x) \, \leq \, \delta^n e^{-|x|^2/(2\alpha^*)} \, \psi\Big(\frac{x}{\alpha^* \sqrt{n}}\Big)^{n/2} \quad \textit{whenever} \quad |x| \geq x_0 \sqrt{n}. \tag{10.9}$$

11. Pearson's χ^2-distance to the normal law

As we have already mentioned, an interesting particular case $\alpha = 2$ leads to the Pearson's χ^2-distance $T_2 = \chi^2$ and the Rény divergence $D_2 = \log(1 + \chi^2)$. For simplicity, let us consider the one dimensional situation. Thus, with respect to the standard normal law according to (10.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(X, Z) \; &= \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)^2}{\varphi(x)} \, dx - 1 \\ &= \; \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2k} p(x)^2 \, dx, \quad Z \sim N(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where X is a random variable with density p.

In this case, necessary and sufficient conditions for the finiteness of this distance may be given in terms of the characteristic function

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{itX} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx}\, p(x)\, dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The condition $\chi^2 = \chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$ ensures that $f(t)$ has square integrable derivatives $f^{(k)}(t)$ of any order k. Moreover, in that case, by Plancherel's theorem,

$$\chi^2(X, Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f^{(k)}(t)|^2\, dt.$$

According to (10.3), for all $c < \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{cX^2} \le \frac{B}{(1-4c)^{1/4}}, \quad B = \big(1 + \chi^2\big)^{1/2}, \tag{11.1}$$

and it is possible that $\chi^2 < \infty$, while $\mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{1}{4}X^2} = \infty$. Nevertheless,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{1}{4}Z_n^2} < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \ge 2,$$

where Z_n is the normalized sum of n independent copies of X.

In fact, for $n = 2$, the inequality (10.5) can be stated more precisely as

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{1}{4}Z_2^2} \le 2\,(1 + \chi^2).$$

Equivalently, there is a corresponding refinement of the inequality (10.7) in the form without any convolution, namely

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(iy)^2\, e^{-2y^2}\, dy \;\le\; 1 + \chi^2.$$

The argument is based on the Plancherel formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(iy)|^2\, e^{-2y^2}\, dy \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho(t)|^2\, e^{-2t^2}\, dt,$$

where ρ is the Fourier transform of the function $g(x) = p(x)\, e^{x^2/4}$, assuming that it belongs to L^2 (that is, $\chi^2 < \infty$).

Let us also mention that, although the density p does not need be bounded in the case $\chi^2 < \infty$, the densities p_n of all normalized sums Z_n, $n \ge 2$, have to be bounded in this case.

12. Exponential series and normal moments

The χ^2-distance from the standard normal law on the real line admits a nice description in terms of the so-called exponential series (following Cramér's terminology). Let us introduce basic notations and recall several well-known facts. Let

$$H_k(x) = (-1)^k \left(e^{-x^2/2}\right)^{(k)} e^{x^2/2}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

denote the k-th Chebyshev-Hermite polynomial. In particular,

$$H_0(x) = 1, \quad H_1(x) = x, \quad H_2(x) = x^2 - 1, \quad H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x.$$

Each H_k is a polynomial of degree k with integer coefficients. Depending on k being even or odd, H_k contains even respectively odd powers only. It may be defined explicitly via

$$H_k(x) = \mathbb{E}\,(x + iZ)^k, \quad Z \sim N(0,1).$$

Being orthogonal to each other with weight function $\varphi(x)$, these polynomials form a complete orthogonal system in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \varphi(x)dx)$ with

$$\mathbb{E}\,H_k(Z)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_k(x)^2\,\varphi(x)\,dx = k!$$

Equivalently, the Hermite functions $\varphi_k(x) = H_k(x)\varphi(x)$ form a complete orthogonal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)})$ with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k(x)^2\,\frac{dx}{\varphi(x)} = k!$$

Hence, any complex valued function u such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x)|^2\,e^{x^2/2}dx < \infty$ admits a unique representation in the form of the orthogonal series

$$u(x) = \varphi(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{k!}\,H_k(x) \tag{12.1}$$

which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)})$. Here, the coefficients are given by

$$c_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x)\,H_k(x)\,dx,$$

and we have Parseval's identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_k|^2}{k!} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\varphi(x)}\,dx. \tag{12.2}$$

The functional series (12.1) representing u is called an exponential series. The question of its pointwise convergence is rather delicate similarly to the pointwise convergence of ordinary Fourier series based on trigonometric functions. In particular, if $u(x)$ is vanishing at infinity and has a continuous derivative such that the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u'(x)|^2\,e^{x^2/2}\,dx$ is finite, it may be developed in an exponential series, which is absolutely and uniformly convergent on the real line, cf. Cramér [30]. For example, for the Gaussian functions $u(x) = e^{-\lambda x^2}$ $(\lambda > 0)$, the corresponding exponential series can be explicitly computed. At $x = 0$ it is absolutely convergent for $\lambda > \frac{1}{4}$, simply convergent for $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ and divergent for $\lambda < \frac{1}{4}$.

Let X be a random variable with density p, and let Z be a standard normal random variable independent of X. Applying (12.1) to p, we obtain the following: If

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)^2 \, e^{x^2/2} \, dx < \infty, \tag{12.3}$$

then p admits a unique representation in the form of the exponential series

$$p(x) = \varphi(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{k!} \, H_k(x), \tag{12.4}$$

which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)})$. Here, the coefficients are given by

$$c_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_k(x) \, p(x) \, dx = \mathbb{E} H_k(X) = \mathbb{E} \, (X + iZ)^k,$$

which we call the normal moments of X. In particular, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = \mathbb{E}X$.

In general, c_k exists as long as the k-th absolute moment of X is finite. These moments are needed to develop the characteristic function of X in a Taylor series around zero as follows:

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E} \, e^{itX} = e^{-t^2/2} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{c_k}{k!} \, (it)^k + o(|t|^N), \quad t \to 0. \tag{12.5}$$

In particular, $c_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ when X is standard normal, similarly to the property of the cumulants

$$\gamma_k(X) = \frac{d^k}{i^k \, dt^k} \, \log f(t)|_{t=0}$$

with $k \geq 3$ (using the branch of the logarithm determined by $\log f(0) = 0$).

Let us emphasize one simple algebraic property of normal moments. Given a random variable X with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^k < \infty$ for some integer $k \geq 3$, the following three properties are equivalent:

(i) $\gamma_r(X) = 0$ for all $r = 3, \dots, k-1$;

(ii) $\mathbb{E} H_r(X) = 0$ for all $r = 3, \dots, k-1$;

(iii) $\mathbb{E}X^r = \mathbb{E}Z^r$ for all $r = 3, \dots, k-1$.

In this case

$$\gamma_k(X) \, = \, \mathbb{E} H_k(X) \, = \, \mathbb{E}X^k - \mathbb{E}Z^k. \tag{12.6}$$

The moments of X may be expressed in terms of the normal moments. Indeed, the Chebyshev-Hermite polynomials have the generating function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k(x) \, \frac{z^k}{k!} = e^{xz - z^2/2}, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

or equivalently,

$$e^{xz} \;=\; e^{z^2/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i(x)\, \frac{z^i}{i!} \;=\; \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} H_i(x)\, \frac{z^{i+2j}}{i!j!\, 2^j}.$$

Expanding e^{xz} into the power series with $x = X$ and comparing the coefficients, we get

$$\mathbb{E}X^k = k! \sum_{j=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{1}{(k-2j)!\, j!\, 2^j}\, \mathbb{E}\, H_{k-2j}(X).$$

Now, let us describe the connection between the normal moments and the χ^2-distance. The series in (12.5) is absolutely convergent as $N \to \infty$, when f is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, assuming condition (12.3) so that to guarantee the finiteness of a Gaussian moment according to (11.1), we have the expansion

$$f(t) = e^{-t^2/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{k!}\, (it)^k, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, the Parseval identity (12.2) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k^2}{k!} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)^2}{\varphi(x)}\, dx \;=\; 1 + \chi^2(X, Z),$$

and we arrive at the following relation:

Theorem 12.1 ([16]). *If $\chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$, then*

$$\chi^2(X, Z) \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \big(\mathbb{E}H_k(X)\big)^2. \tag{12.7}$$

Conversely, if a random variable X has finite moments of any order, and the series in (12.7) is convergent, then X has an absolutely continuous distribution with finite distance $\chi^2(X, Z)$.

It looks surprising that a simple sufficient condition for the existence of a density p of X can be formulated in terms of moments of X only. If X is bounded, then it has finite moments of any order, and the property $\chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$ just means that p is in L^2. In that case we may conclude that X has an absolutely continuous distribution with a square integrable density, if and only if the series in (12.7) is convergent.

The identity (12.7) admits a natural generalization in terms of the random variables

$$X_t = \sqrt{t}\, X + \sqrt{1-t}\, Z,$$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is independent of X. Namely, if $\chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$, then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\chi^2(X_t, Z) \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}\, (\mathbb{E}H_k(X))^2. \tag{12.8}$$

This yields another description of the normal moments via the derivatives of the χ^2-distance:

$$\big(\mathbb{E}H_k(X)\big)^2 \,=\, \frac{d^k t}{dt^k}\,\chi^2(X_t, Z)\big|_{t=0}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

13. Behavior of Rényi divergence under convolutions

It is natural to raise the following obvious question, which appears when describing convergence in the CLT in the D_α-distance with $\alpha > 1$: Does it remain finite for sums of independent summands with finite D_α-distances? The answer is affirmative and is made precise by virtue of the relation

$$D_\alpha(aX + bY||Z) \le D_\alpha(X||Z) + D_\alpha(Y||Z), \tag{13.1}$$

where $Z \sim N(0,1)$. It holds true for all independent random variables X, Y and for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (\alpha - 1)\, T_\alpha(aX + bY||Z) \quad &\le \\ \big(1 + (\alpha - 1)\, T_\alpha(X||Z)\big)\, &\big(1 + (\alpha - 1)\, T_\alpha(Y||Z)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{13.2}$$

The statement may be extended by induction to finitely many independent summands $X_1, \dots, X_n$ by the relation

$$D_\alpha(a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n||Z) \,\le\, D_\alpha(X_1||Z) + \dots + D_\alpha(X_n||Z), \tag{13.3}$$

where $a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 = 1$.

Let us note that for the relative entropy there is a stronger property

$$D(a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n||Z) \,\le\, \max\{D(X_1||Z), \dots, D(X_n||Z)\},$$

which follows from the convexity property (5.2). However, this is no longer true for D_α. Nevertheless, for the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

with i.i.d. summands, (13.3) guarantees a sub-linear growth of the Rényi divergence with respect to n, i.e.,

$$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \le n D_\alpha(X_1||Z). \tag{13.4}$$

The relation (13.1) follows from the contractivity property (1.8), applied in the plane $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to the random vectors $\widetilde{X} = (X, Y)$ and $\widetilde{Z} = (Z, Z')$, where Z' is an independent copy of Z. Since

$$D_\alpha(\widetilde{X}||\widetilde{Z}) = D_\alpha(X||Z) + D_\alpha(Y||Z'),$$

we have

$$D_\alpha\big(S(\widetilde{X})||S(\widetilde{Z})\big) \,\le\, D_\alpha(X||Z) + D_\alpha(Y||Z')$$

for any Borel measurable function $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. It remains to apply this inequality with the linear function $S(x, y) = ax + by$.

In the case $\alpha = 2$, there is a simple alternative argument, which relies upon normal moments only and the representation (12.7) from Theorem 12.1. With this approach, one may use the binomial formula for the Chebyshev-Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(ax + by) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \, a^i b^{k-i} \, H_i(x) H_{k-i}(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{13.5}$$

which holds true whenever $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ and implies

$$\mathbb{E} H_k(aX + bY) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \, a^i b^{k-i} \, \mathbb{E} H_i(X) \, \mathbb{E} H_{k-i}(Y).$$

A further application of Cauchy's inequality leads to

$$1 + \chi^2(aX + bY, Z) \le \big(1 + \chi^2(X, Z)\big) \, \big(1 + \chi^2(Y, Z)\big),$$

which is exactly (13.2) for $\alpha = 2$.

By the way, (13.5) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \, H_k(aX + bZ) = a^k \, \mathbb{E} H_k(X),$$

which may be used in the formula (12.8) with $a = \sqrt{t}$ and $b = \sqrt{1 - t}$.

One may also ask whether or not $\chi^2(aX + bY, Z)$ remains finite, when $\chi^2(X, Z)$ is finite, and Y is "small" enough. To this aim, one may derive a simple upper bound

$$1 + \chi^2(aX + bY, Z) \le \frac{1}{|a|} \, \big(1 + \chi^2(X, Z)\big) \, \mathbb{E} \, e^{Y^2/2}$$

under the same assumption $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ with $a \ne 0$.

14. Examples of convolutions

Let us now describe two examples of i.i.d. random variables $X, X_1, \dots, X_n$ such that for the normalized sums Z_n and any prescribed integer $n_0 > 1$, we have

$$\chi^2(Z_1, Z) = \cdots = \chi^2(Z_{n_0 - 1}, Z) = \infty, \quad \text{but} \quad \chi^2(Z_{n_0}, Z) < \infty. \tag{14.1}$$

Example 14.1. Suppose that X has a symmetric density of the form

$$p(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \, e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} \, d\pi(\sigma^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{14.2}$$

where π is a probability measure on the positive half-axis. It may be described as a density of the random variable $\sqrt{\xi} Z$, where $\xi > 0$ is independent of Z and has distribution π. The finiteness of $\chi^2(X, Z)$ implies that $\sigma^2 < 2$ for π-almost

all σ, that is, $\mathbb{P}\{\xi < 2\} = 1$. Assuming this, introduce the distribution function $F(\varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}\{\xi \le \varepsilon\}$, $0 \le \varepsilon \le 2$. It is easy to see that

$$1 + \chi^2(X, Z) = \mathbb{E}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi + \eta - \xi\eta}},$$

where η is an independent copy of ξ. This implies that $\chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{F(\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^2 \frac{(1 - F(\varepsilon))^2}{(2-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty. \tag{14.3}$$

One may note that p is bounded, if and only if $\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} < \infty$, that is,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{F(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty,$$

which is a weaker condition when the support of the distribution of ξ is bounded away from the point 2.

Based on this description, we now investigate convolutions of p defined in (14.2). The normalized sum $Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$ has density of a similar type

$$p_n(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2}\,d\pi_n(\sigma^2).$$

More precisely, if $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent copies of ξ, that are independent of independent copies $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n$ of Z, then

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,\big(\sqrt{\xi_1}\zeta_1 + \cdots + \sqrt{\xi_n}\zeta_n\big) = \sqrt{S_n}Z,$$

where the last equality is understood in the sense of distributions with $S_n = \frac{1}{n}\,(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)$ being independent of Z. Thus, the mixing measure π_n can be recognized as the distribution of S_n. Note that $\mathbb{P}\{S_n < 2\} = 1$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}\{\xi < 2\} = 1$ which is fulfilled. Therefore, by (14.3), $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{F_n(\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^2 \frac{(1 - F_n(\varepsilon))^2}{(2-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty,$$

where F_n is the distribution function of S_n. Since $F(\varepsilon)^n \le F_n(\varepsilon) \le F(\varepsilon n)^n$ and

$$(1 - F(2-\varepsilon))^n \le 1 - F_n(2-\varepsilon) \le (1 - F(2 - \varepsilon n))^n,$$

which are needed near zero, these conditions may be simplified to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{F(\varepsilon)^{2n}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty, \quad \int_1^2 \frac{(1 - F(\varepsilon))^{2n}}{(2-\varepsilon)^{3/2}}\,d\varepsilon < \infty. \tag{14.4}$$

Now, suppose that π is supported on $(0, 2-\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, so that the second integral in (14.4) is convergent. Moreover, let $F(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^\kappa$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ with

parameter $\kappa > 0$, where the equivalence is understood up to a positive factor. Then, the first integral in (14.4) will be finite, if and only if $n > 1/(4\kappa)$. Choosing $\kappa = \frac{1}{4(n_0-1)}$, we obtain the required property (14.1). In this example, one may additionally require that $\mathbb{E}X^2 = \mathbb{E}\xi = 1$.

Example 14.2. Consider a density of the form

$$p(x) = \frac{a_k}{1+|x|^{1/2k}}\, e^{-x^2/4}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where a_k is a normalizing constant, $k = n_0 - 1$, and let f_1 denote its Fourier transform (the characteristic function). Define the distribution of X via its characteristic function

$$f(t) = qf_1(t) + (1-q)\,\frac{\sin(\gamma t)}{\gamma t}$$

with a sufficiently small $q > 0$ and $\gamma = (3\,(1 + qf_1''(0))/(1-q))^{1/2}$. It is easy to check that $f''(0) = -1$, which guarantees that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$. One can show that the densities p_n of Z_n admit the two-sided bounds

$$\frac{b_n'}{1+|x|^{n/2k}}\, e^{-x^2/4} \le p_n(x) \le \frac{b_n''}{1+|x|^{n/2k}}\, e^{-x^2/4} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

up to some n-dependent factors. Hence, again we arrive at the property (14.1).

15. Super-additivity of χ^2 with respect to marginals

A multidimensional CLT requires to involve some other properties of the χ^2-distance in higher dimensions. The contractivity under mappings,

$$\chi^2(S(X), S(Z)) \le \chi^2(X, Z), \tag{15.1}$$

has already been mentioned in (1.8); it holds in a general setting and for all Rényi divergences. The inequality (15.1) may be considerably sharpened, when the distance is measured to the standard normal law in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$. In order to compare the behavior of χ^2-divergence with often used information-theoretic quantities, recall the definition of the Shannon entropy and the Fisher information,

$$h(X) = -\int p(x)\, \log p(x)\, dx, \quad I(X) = \int \frac{|\nabla p(x)|^2}{p(x)}\, dx,$$

where X is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with density p (assuming that the above integrals exist). These functionals are known to be subadditive and super-additive with respect to the components: Writing $X = (X', X'')$ with $X' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$, $X'' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ $(d_1 + d_2 = d)$, one always has

$$h(X) \le h(X') + h(X''), \quad I(X) \ge I(X') + I(X'') \tag{15.2}$$

cf. [51], [29]. Both $h(X)$ and $I(X)$ themselves are not yet distances, so one also considers the relative entropy and the relative Fisher information with

respect to other distributions. In particular, in the case of the standard normal random vector $Z \sim N(0, I_d)$ and random vectors X with mean zero and identity covariance matrix I_d, they are given by

$$D(X||Z) = h(Z) - h(X), \quad I(X||Z) = I(X) - I(Z).$$

Hence, by (15.2), these distances are both super-additive, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} D(X||Z) &\geq D(X'||Z') + D(X''||Z''), \\ I(X||Z) &\geq I(X'||Z') + I(X''||Z''), \end{aligned}$$

where Z' and Z'' are standard normal in $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ respectively (both inequalities become equalities, when X' and X'' are independent).

One can establish a similar property for the χ^2-distance, which can be more conveniently stated in the setting of a Euclidean space H, say of dimension d, with norm $|\cdot|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If X is a random vector in H with density p, and Z is a normal random vector with mean zero and an identity covariance operator I_d, then (according to the abstract definition),

$$\chi^2(X, Z) = \int_H \frac{p(x)^2}{\varphi(x)}\, dx - 1 = \int_H \frac{(p(x) - \varphi(x))^2}{\varphi(x)}\, dx,$$

where $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\, e^{-|x|^2/2}$ $(x \in H)$ is the density of Z.

Theorem 15.1. *Given a random vector X in H and an orthogonal decomposition $H = H' \oplus H''$ into two linear subspaces $H', H'' \subset H$ of dimensions $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$, for the orthogonal projections $X' = \mathrm{Proj}_{H'}(X)$, $X'' = \mathrm{Proj}_{H''}(X)$, we have*

$$\chi^2(X, Z) \geq \chi^2(X', Z') + \chi^2(X'', Z''), \tag{15.3}$$

where Z, Z', Z'' are standard normal random vectors in H, H', H'', respectively.

Note, however, that (15.3) will not become an equality for independent components X', X''.

Let us explain this inequality in the simple case $H = \mathbb{R}^2$ with $d_1 = d_2 = 1$. The finiteness of $\chi^2(X, Z)$ means that the random vector $X = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ has density $p = p(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x_1, x_2)^2\, e^{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2}\, dx_1 dx_2 < \infty.$$

The Hermite functions

$$\varphi_{k_1,k_2}(x_1, x_2) = \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\, H_{k_1}(x_1) H_{k_2}(x_2)$$

form a complete orthogonal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where now φ denotes the one dimensional standard normal density. Hence, the density p admits a unique representation in the form of the exponential series

$$p(x_1, x_2) \;=\; \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_1,k_2}}{k_1! k_2!}\, H_{k_1}(x_1) H_{k_2}(x_2), \tag{15.4}$$

converging in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)})$ with coefficients (mutual normal moments)

$$c_{k_1,k_2} \,=\, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{k_1}(x_1)H_{k_2}(x_2)\, p(x_1,x_2)\, dx_1 dx_2 \,=\, \mathbb{E}\, H_{k_1}(\xi_1)H_{k_2}(\xi_2).$$

Moreover, we have Parseval's equality

$$1+\chi^2(X,Z) \,=\, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x_1,x_2)^2}{\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)}\, dx_1 dx_2 \,=\, \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_1,k_2}^2}{k_1!k_2!}. \tag{15.5}$$

Now, integrating (15.4) over x_2 and separately over x_1, we obtain similar representations for the marginal densities

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_1) &= \varphi(x_1) \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_1,0}}{k_1!}\, H_{k_1}(x_1), \\ p_2(x_2) &= \varphi(x_2) \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{0,k_2}}{k_2!}\, H_{k_2}(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 12.1,

$$\chi^2(\xi_1,\xi) \,=\, \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_1,0}^2}{k_1!}, \quad \chi^2(\xi_2,\xi) \,=\, \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{0,k_2}^2}{k_2!} \qquad (\xi\sim N(0,1)).$$

But, these quantities appear as summands in (15.5).

16. Edgeworth expansion for densities and truncated distances

The study of the central limit theorem for T_α-distances including the entropic CLT involves the Edgeworth expansion for densities under moment assumptions, which we briefly discussed in Section 7, cf. Theorem 7.4. Let us state once more its particular case (7.7).

Suppose that we have independent copies $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of a random variable X with mean zero and variance one, and let

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1+\cdots+X_n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Theorem 16.1. *Assume that X has a finite absolute moment of an integer order $k\geq 3$, and Z_n admits a bounded density for some n. Then, for all n large enough, Z_n have continuous bounded densities p_n satisfying uniformly in $x\in\mathbb{R}$*

$$p_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(x)\sum_{\nu=1}^{k-2} \frac{q_\nu(x)}{n^{\nu/2}} + o\Big(\frac{1}{n^{(k-2)/2}}\Big)\frac{1}{1+|x|^k}. \tag{16.1}$$

Recall that in this formula

$$q_\nu(x) = \sum H_{\nu+2l}(x) \prod_{m=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k_m!}\Big(\frac{\gamma_{m+2}}{(m+2)!}\Big)^{k_m}, \tag{16.2}$$

where γ_r denotes the r-th cumulant of X, and the summation runs over all non-negative integer solutions $(k_1, \dots, k_\nu)$ to the equation $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + \nu k_\nu = \nu$ with $l = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_\nu$. The sum in (16.2) defines a polynomial in x of degree at most $3(k-2)$.

For example, for $k = 3$ (16.1) yields

$$p_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{\gamma_3}{3!\sqrt{n}}\, H_3(x)\varphi(x) + o\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)\frac{1}{1+|x|^3}, \quad \gamma_3 = \mathbb{E}X^3.$$

More generally, if $\gamma_3 = \dots = \gamma_{k-1} = 0$, that is, the first $k-1$ moments of X coincide with those of $Z \sim N(0,1)$, then (16.1) is simplified to

$$p_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{\gamma_k}{k!}\, H_k(x)\varphi(x)\, n^{-\frac{k-2}{2}} + o\big(n^{-\frac{k-2}{2}}\big)\frac{1}{1+|x|^k}$$

with $\gamma_k = \mathbb{E}X^k - \mathbb{E}Z^k$ (cf. (12.6)).

The condition on the boundedness of p_n for some $n = n_0$ (and then for all $n \geq n_0$) may be described in terms of the characteristic function of X as the smoothness property (2.5). It appears as a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform local limit theorem (2.6).

Theorem 16.1 allows one to investigate an asymptotic behaviour of the "truncated" T_α-distances, that is, the integrals of the form

$$I_\alpha(M) = \int_{|x|\leq M} \Big(\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)}\Big)^\alpha \varphi(x)\, dx - 1.$$

Choosing

$$M = M_n(s) = \sqrt{2(s-1)\log n}$$

with a fixed integer $s \geq 2$ and applying (16.1) with $k = 2s$, we get an expansion

$$I_\alpha(M_n(s)) = \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} b_j\, n^{-j} + o\big(n^{-(s-1)}\big) \tag{16.3}$$

with coefficients

$$b_j = \sum \frac{(\alpha)_{m_1+\dots+m_{2j-1}}}{m_1! \dots m_{2j-1}!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_1(x)^{m_1} \dots q_{2j-1}(x)^{m_{2j-1}}\, \varphi(x)\, dx.$$

Here we use the standard notation $(\alpha)_m = \alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-m+1)$, while the sum extends over all integers $m_1, \dots, m_{2j-1} \geq 0$ such that

$$m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + (2j-1)\, m_{2j-1} = 2j.$$

In particular, when $\gamma_j = 0$ for $j = 3, \dots, s-1$ ($s \geq 3$), we have

$$I_\alpha(M_n(s)) = \alpha(\alpha-1)\,\frac{\gamma_s^2}{2s!}\,\frac{1}{n^{s-2}} + O\big(n^{-(s-1)}\big).$$

Theorem 16.1 may also be used to control the truncated T_∞-distance. In particular, if $k \geq 4$ and $\mathbb{E}X^3 = 0$, we have $\gamma_3 = 0$, so that (16.1) takes the form

$$p_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{\gamma_4}{24\,n} H_4(x)\varphi(x) + \varphi(x) \sum_{\nu=3}^{k-2} \frac{q_\nu(x)}{n^{\nu/2}} + o\Big(\frac{1}{n^{(k-2)/2}}\Big)\,\frac{1}{1+|x|^k},$$

where the sum is empty in the case $k = 4$. Let us rewrite this representation as

$$\frac{p_n(x) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{\gamma_4}{24\,n} H_4(x) + R_n(x) + o\Big(\frac{1}{n^{(k-2)/2}}\Big)\,\frac{e^{x^2/2}}{1+|x|^k}.$$

If $|x| \leq M_n(s)$ with a fixed $s \geq 1$, then, using the property that the degree of every polynomial $q_\nu(x)$ does exceed $3(k-2)$, we get

$$|R_n(x)| \leq \sum_{\nu=3}^{k-2} \frac{|q_\nu(x)|}{n^{\nu/2}} \leq C\frac{(\log n)^{\frac{3(k-2)}{2}}}{n^{3/2}} \leq \frac{C'}{n},$$

while $|H_4(x)| \leq C(\log n)^2$, where all constants do not depend on x. In addition,

$$\frac{e^{x^2/2}}{1+|x|^k} \leq n^{s-1}.$$

In order to get the rate in the remainder term of order $1/n$, we therefore need to assume that $\frac{k-2}{2} \geq s$, that is, $k \geq 2s+2$. As a result, in this case

$$\sup_{|x| \leq M_n(s)} \frac{|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|}{\varphi(x)} = O\Big(\frac{(\log n)^2}{n}\Big). \tag{16.4}$$

Let us also mention that Theorem 16.1 may be extended to the multidimensional case, cf. [5], Theorem 19.2. In that case, each q_ν represents a polynomial whose coefficients involve mixed cumulants of the components of X up to order $\nu+2$. Correspondingly, we obtain an expansion (16.3) and the asymptotic formula (16.4) for the truncated Tsallis distances.

17. Edgeworth expansion and CLT for Rényi divergences

Let us now state the central limit theorem with respect to the χ^2-distance, together with an expansion similarly to Theorem 7.1 about the rates of convergence in the entropic CLT. The main difference is now the property that the finiteness of the χ^2 distance guarantees existence of all moments.

Thus, suppose that we have independent copies X_n of a random variable X with mean zero and variance one, and let

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

denote the normalized sum of the first n summands.

Theorem 17.1 ([16]). *$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ if and only if $\chi^2(Z_n, Z)$ is finite for some $n = n_0$, and*

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} < e^{t^2} \quad \text{for all } t \neq 0. \tag{17.1}$$

In this case, the χ^2-divergence admits an Edgeworth-type expansion

$$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \,=\, \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} \frac{c_j}{n^j} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^{s-1}}\Big) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{17.2}$$

which is valid for every $s = 3, 4, \ldots$ with coefficients c_j representing certain polynomials in the moments $\alpha_k = \mathbb{E}X^k$, $k = 3, \ldots, j+2$.

For $s = 3$ (17.2) becomes

$$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \,=\, \frac{\alpha_3^2}{6n} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big),$$

and if $\alpha_3 = 0$ (as in the case of symmetric distributions), one may turn to the next moment of order $s = 4$, for which (17.2) yields

$$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \,=\, \frac{(\alpha_4 - 3)^2}{24\, n^2} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big). \tag{17.3}$$

The property $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) < \infty$ is rather close to the subgaussian condition (17.1). As we know, it implies that (17.1) is fulfilled for all t large enough, as well as near zero due to the variance assumption. It may happen, however, that (17.1) is fulfilled for all $t \neq 0$ except just one value $t = t_0$, and then there is no CLT for the χ^2-distance.

The convergence to zero, and even the verification of the boundedness of $\chi^2(Z_n, Z)$ in n is rather delicate. This problem was first studied in the early 1980's by Fomin [36] in terms of the exponential series for the density of X,

$$p(x) = \varphi(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k}{2^k k!}\, H_{2k}(x).$$

As a main result, he proved that $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) = O(\frac{1}{n})$ as $n \to \infty$, assuming that p is compactly supported, symmetric, piecewise differentiable, such that the series coefficients satisfy $\sup_{k \geq 2} \sigma_k < 1$. This sufficient condition was verified for the uniform distribution on the interval $(-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$ (this length is caused by the assumption $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$).

A similar characterization as in Theorem 17.1 continues to hold in the multidimensional case for mean zero i.i.d. random vectors $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ normalized to have an identity covariance matrix. Moreover, one may extend these results to the range of indexes $\alpha > 1$, arriving at the following statement proved in [16]. As before, we denote by $\alpha^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ the conjugate index, and by Z a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ having a standard normal distribution.

Theorem 17.2. *$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if and only if $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ is finite for some n, and*

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} < e^{\alpha^* |t|^2/2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ t \neq 0. \tag{17.4}$$

In this case, $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) = O(1/n)$, and even

$$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) = O(1/n^2),$$

provided that the distribution of X is symmetric about the origin.

Thus, in addition to the strength of normal approximation, the convergence in the Rényi distance says a lot about the character of the underlying distributions. Thanks to the existence of all moments of X, an Edgeworth-type expansion for D_α and T_α also holds similarly to (17.2), involving the mixed cumulants of the components of X. Such expansion shows in particular an equivalence

$$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \sim T_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \sim \frac{\alpha}{2}\, \chi^2(Z_n, Z),$$

once these distances tend to zero. Moreover, an Edgeworth-type expansion allows to establish the monotonicity property of $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ with respect to (large) n, in analogy with the known property of the relative entropy.

18. Non-uniform local limit theorems

As a closely related issue, and in fact, as an effective application, the Renyi divergence appears naturally in the study of normal approximation for densities p_n of Z_n in the form of non-uniform local limit theorems. In the setting of Theorem 17.2 we have:

Theorem 18.1 ([16])**.** *Suppose that $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ is finite for some n, and let the property (17.4) be fulfilled. Then, for all n large enough and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \ \le\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\, e^{-|x|^2/(2\alpha^*)}, \tag{18.1}$$

where the constant c does not depend on n. Moreover, the rate $1/\sqrt{n}$ may be improved to $1/n$, if the distribution of X is symmetric about the origin.

Let us recall that $\alpha > 1$ and $\alpha^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ denotes the conjugate index.

In dimension $d = 1$ one can give a more precise statement, using the cumulants γ_k of X, cf. [16]. In this case, the basic moment assumption is that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$.

Theorem 18.2. *Suppose that $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ is finite for some n, and let the condition (17.4) hold. If $\gamma_3 = \cdots = \gamma_{s-1} = 0$ for some integer $s \geq 3$, then*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|}{\varphi(x)^{1/\alpha^*}} \;=\; \frac{a_s\,|\gamma_s|}{s!}\, n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}} + O\big(n^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}\big), \tag{18.2}$$

where

$$a_s = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \big[\,\varphi(x)^{1/\alpha}\,|H_s(x)|\big].$$

In the case $s = 3$, there is no restriction on the cumulants, and we obtain the inequality (18.1). If $\mathbb{E}X^3 = 0$, then $\gamma_3 = 0$, and one may turn to the next moment of order $s = 4$, which yields the rate $1/n$ in (18.1). As for the cumulant coefficient in (18.2), let us recall that

$$\gamma_s = \mathbb{E}H_s(X) = \mathbb{E}X^s - \mathbb{E}Z^s$$

To compare this result with the local limit theorem (16.1), note that, assuming the existence of moments of order s and that Z_n has a bounded continuous density p_n for large n, the Edgeworth expansion in Theorem 16.1 with $k = s$ allows to derive a much weaker statement, namely

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\,(1 + |x|^s)\,|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \;=\; \frac{a'_s\,|\gamma_s|}{s!}\, n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}} + o\big(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\big)$$

with s-dependent factors

$$a'_s = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\,(1 + |x|^s)\,|H_s(x)|\,\varphi(x).$$

The condition (17.4) is almost necessary for the conclusion such as (18.2) and even for a weaker one. Indeed, arguing in the multidimensional setting, suppose that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\, \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\, \frac{p_n(x) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)^{1/\alpha^*}} \;<\; \infty, \tag{18.3}$$

so that

$$p_n(x) \leq \varphi(x) + C\varphi(x)^{1/\alpha^*}$$

for infinitely many n with some constant C. Multiplying this inequality by $e^{\langle t,x\rangle}$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and integrating over the variable x, we get

$$\big(\mathbb{E}\,e^{\langle t,X\rangle/\sqrt{n}}\big)^n = \mathbb{E}\,e^{\langle t,Z_n\rangle} \leq e^{|t|^2/2} + AC\,e^{\alpha^*|t|^2/2}$$

with constant $A = (2\pi)^{d/(2\alpha)}\,(\alpha^*)^{d/2}$. Now substitute t with $t\sqrt{n}$ and raise this inequality to the power $1/n$. Letting $n \to \infty$ along a suitable subsequence, we arrive in the limit at

$$\mathbb{E}\,e^{\langle t,X\rangle} \leq e^{\alpha^*|t|^2/2} \tag{18.4}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, this subgaussian property is indeed implied by the local limit theorem in the form (18.3).

19. Comments on the proofs

Let us comment on some steps needed for the proof of Theorems 17.1–17.2 and 18.1–18.2.

As we have already explained, for the non-uniform local limit theorem (18.1), the condition (18.3) is necessary (which is a slightly weakened form of (17.4)). A similar conclusion can be made about Theorem 17.2, and it is sufficient to require in analogue with (18.3) that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{n}\, D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)\Big] = 0. \tag{19.1}$$

For this aim, let us return to the bound (10.4) on the Laplace transform which for the random vector Z_n in place of X gives

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, Z_n\rangle} \le B_n\, e^{\alpha^* |t|^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where

$$B_n = \Big(1 + (\alpha-1) T_\alpha(Z_n||Z)\Big)^{1/\alpha} = \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{\alpha^*}\, D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)\Big\}.$$

Replacing t with $t\sqrt{n}$, one may rewrite this inequality as

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} \le B_n^{1/n}\, e^{\alpha^* |t|^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{19.2}$$

Assuming that (19.1) holds, we get that $B_n^{1/n} \to 1$ along a suitable subsequence, and then (19.2) yields in the limit the inequality (18.4).

Thus, if

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} > e^{\alpha^* |t|^2/2}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then (19.1) does not hold, that is, $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \ge cn$ for all n with some constant $c > 0$. In this case $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)$ has a maximal growth rate, in view of the sub-linear upper bound (13.4).

In order to obtain the strict inequality in (17.4), a more delicate analysis is required in dimension $d = 1$ about the asymptotic behavior of the integrals

$$I_{nk} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E}\, e^{tZ_{nk}})^2\, e^{-\alpha^* t^2}\, dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha^*}}\ \mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha^*} Z_{nk}^2}. \tag{19.3}$$

Assuming that $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$, or equivalently $\chi_\alpha(Z_n, Z) \to 0$, we have (18.4), that is,

$$\psi(t) \ \equiv\ \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}\, e^{-\alpha^* t^2/2} \le 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{19.4}$$

Moreover, from the upper bound (10.6) it follows that, for any integer $k \ge \alpha/2$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{nk} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha^*}}\, \mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha^*} Z^2} = \sqrt{\pi\,(\alpha-1)}. \tag{19.5}$$

The function $\psi(t)$ is extended to the complex plane as an entire function. Using the Taylor expansion of ψ near zero, one can show that, for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the integral in (19.3) when it is restricted to the interval $|t| \le \delta\sqrt{nk}$ behaves like $\sqrt{\pi\,(\alpha-1)} + o(1)$. Therefore, by (19.5), it is necessary that

$$\int_{|t|>\delta} \psi(t)^{2nk}\,dt = o\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Big). \tag{19.6}$$

But, if we assume that $\psi(t_0) = 1$ for some $t_0 \neq 0$ and recall (18.4), the above integral being restricted to a neighborhood of t_0 will be at least $cn^{-1/2m}$ for some real $c > 0$ and an integer $m \ge 1$ (using the Taylor expansion of ψ near the point t_0). This would contradict to (19.6), and as a result, the inequality in (19.4) must be strict for any $t \neq 0$.

The necessity part in Theorem 17.2 in the multidimensional situation can be reduced to dimension one, by applying the contractivity property (1.8) of the functional D_α. Indeed, consider the i.i.d. sequence $\langle X_i, \theta\rangle$ with unit vectors θ. Then, assuming that $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we get

$$D_\alpha(\langle Z_n, \theta\rangle \,||\, \langle Z, \theta\rangle) \,\le\, D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}\,\langle X_i, \theta\rangle = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\,\langle X_i, \theta\rangle^2 = 1$, and $\langle Z, \theta\rangle \sim N(0,1)$, we may apply the one dimensional variant of this theorem which gives

$$\mathbb{E}\,e^{r\langle X,\theta\rangle} < e^{\alpha^* r^2/2} \quad \text{for all} \quad r \neq 0,$$

thus proving the necessity part in Theorem 17.2.

For the sufficiency part, one needs to explore the asymptotic behavior of the integrals

$$(\alpha-1)\,T_\alpha(Z_n||Z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_n^\alpha(x)\,dx - 1, \quad w_n(x) = p_n(x)\,\varphi(x)^{-1/\alpha^*}.$$

For this aim, we split the integration into the four shell-type regions. The behavior of the integrals

$$I_0 = \int_{|x|<M_n} w_n^\alpha(x)\,dx, \quad M_n = \sqrt{2\,(l-1)\log n},$$

may be studied by virtue of the Edgeworth expansion for $p_n(x)$ on the balls $|x| < M_n$ with a non-uniform error term, which we discussed in Section 16. Note that I_0 represents a truncated Tsallis distance, which admits an Edgeworth-type expansion (16.3). It leads to the required expansion (17.2) in Theorem 17.1 in dimension one. In the multidimensional case, Theorem 16.1 is stated similarly as an expansion

$$p_n(x) = \varphi_s(x) + \frac{o(n^{-(s-2)/2})}{1+|x|^s}, \quad \varphi_s(x) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(x)\sum_{k=1}^{s-2}\frac{q_k(x)}{n^{k/2}}, \tag{19.7}$$

where each q_k represents a polynomial whose coefficients involve mixed cumulant of the components of X of order up to $k+2$ (cf. [5], Theorem 19.2). In particular, if the distribution of X is symmetric about the origin, then $q_1(x) = 0$ and there is no $1/\sqrt{n}$ term in (19.7). In this way, we will arrive at the Edgeworth-type expansion for I_0 similarly to dimension one, which implies that $I_0 - 1 = O(1/n)$ in general, and $I_0 - 1 = O(1/n^2)$ when the distribution of X is symmetric.

It remains to establish a polynomial smallness of the integrals

$$I_1 = \int_{|x|>x_0\sqrt{n}} w_n^\alpha(x)\,dx, \qquad I_2 = \int_{x_1\sqrt{n}<|x|<x_0\sqrt{n}} w_n^\alpha(x)\,dx,$$
$$I_3 = \int_{M_n<|x|<x_1\sqrt{n}} w_n^\alpha(x)\,dx$$

with $x_1 > 0$ being any fixed small number, and $x_0 > x_1$ depending on the density p. For simplicity, let us assume that $D(X||Z)$ is finite, and rewrite the condition (17.4) as

$$\psi(t) \equiv \mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t,X\rangle}\, e^{-\alpha^*|t|^2/2} < 1 \quad \text{for all } t \neq 0. \tag{19.8}$$

To bound these integrals, one should involve Theorem 10.1. By the pointwise bound (10.9), we have

$$w_n^\alpha(x) \,\le\, c_{\alpha,d}\,\delta^{\alpha n}\,\psi\Big(-\frac{x}{\alpha^*\sqrt{n}}\Big)^{\alpha n/2} \tag{19.9}$$

in the region $|x| \ge x_0\sqrt{n}$ for some $x_0 > 0$, while, by (10.8), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$w_n^\alpha(x) \,\le\, c_{\alpha,d}\,n^{\alpha d/2}\,\psi\Big(-\frac{x}{\alpha^*\sqrt{n}}\Big)^{\alpha(n-n_\alpha)} \tag{19.10}$$

with some (α, d)-depending constants, where $n_\alpha = \max(2, \alpha^*)$ and $n \ge n_\alpha$. Hence, by (19.9), I_1 has an exponential decay with respect to n due to (19.8) and the integrability of ψ with any power $k \ge \alpha$, cf. (10.7). For the region of I_2, thanks to (19.8), we have $\delta = \max_{x_0\le|t|\le x_1} \psi(\frac{t}{\alpha^*}) < 1$. Hence, by (19.10), I_2 has an exponential decay as well.

Finally, using the analyticity of the characteristic function f of X near zero, we have

$$\psi(u) \le e^{-(\alpha^*-1)|u|^2/4}$$

in a sufficiently small ball $|u| < r$. As a consequence, $I_3 = o(n^{-\beta})$ for any prescribed value $\beta > 2$ by choosing a sufficiently large value of the parameter l in the definition of M_n.

Theorems 18.1–18.2 are proved with similar arguments.

20. Some examples and counter-examples

Given a random variable X with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$, consider the function $\psi(t) = e^{-t^2}\,\mathbb{E}\,e^{tX}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$. As before, put $Z_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/\sqrt{n}$, where X_k

are independent copies of X. One immediate consequence of Theorem 17.1 with $n_0 = 1$ is the following characterization. As usual, Z denotes a standard normal random variable.

Theorem 20.1. *Let the random variable X have a density p such that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)^2 \, e^{x^2/2} \, dx < \infty. \tag{20.1}$$

Then $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if and only if

$$\psi(t) < 1 \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \neq 0. \tag{20.2}$$

The assumption (20.1) is fulfilled, for example, when X is bounded and has a square integrable density.

We now illustrate Theorem 20.1 and the more general Theorem 17.2 with a few examples (mostly in dimension one).

Uniform distribution. If X is uniformly distributed on the segment $[-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{3})}{t\sqrt{3}} < e^{t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (t \neq 0), \tag{20.3}$$

so that (20.2) holds. In this case the first moments are given by $\alpha_2 = 1$, $\alpha_3 = 0$, $\alpha_4 = \frac{9}{5}$, and by Theorem 20.1, $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, Theorem 17.1 provides an asymptotic expansion

$$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \, = \, \frac{3}{50\, n^2} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big).$$

In fact, the property (20.3) means that the condition (17.4) of a more general Theorem 17.2 is fulfilled for all $\alpha > 1$, and we obtain a stronger assertion

$$D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\, \chi^2(Z_n, Z) + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big).$$

Convex mixtures of centered Gaussian measures. Following Example 14.1, consider the densities of the form

$$p(x) = \int_0^2 \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\, e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} \, d\pi(\sigma^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where π is a probability measure on the interval $(0, 2)$ with $\int_0^2 \sigma^2 d\pi(\sigma^2) = 1$. The random variable X with this density has mean zero and variance one. In addition, the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \int_0^2 e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2} \, d\pi(\sigma^2)$$

satisfies (20.2). Recall that $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) < \infty$ for some n, if and only if the distribution function $F(\varepsilon) = \pi(0, \varepsilon]$ satisfies the condition (14.4). Thus, $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \to 0$

as $n \to \infty$, if and only if the measure π satisfies (14.4) for some n. In this case, we obtain the expansion (17.3) which reads

$$\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \,=\, \frac{3\,(m-1)^2}{8n^2} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big), \quad m = \int_0^\infty \sigma^4 \, d\pi(\sigma^2).$$

Distributions with Gaussian component. Consider random variables

$$X = a\xi + bZ \quad (a^2 + b^2 = 1,\ a, b > 0),$$

assuming that $\mathbb{E}\xi = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\xi^2 = 1$, with $Z \sim N(0,1)$ being independent of ξ. The distribution of X is a convex mixture of shifted Gaussian measures with variance b^2. It admits a density

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{b}\,\mathbb{E}\,\varphi\Big(\frac{x - a\xi}{b}\Big), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To ensure finiteness of $\chi^2(Z_n, Z)$ with some n, the Laplace transform of the distribution of ξ should admit a subgaussian bound

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{t\xi} \le e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{20.4}$$

with some $\sigma > 0$, in which case $\mathbb{E}\, e^{c\xi^2} < \infty$ whenever $c < 1/(2\sigma^2)$ (due to the moment assumptions on ξ, necessarily $\sigma \ge 1$). Let η be an independent copy of ξ. Squaring $p(x)$ and using $2\xi\eta \le \xi^2 + \eta^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \chi^2(X, Z) \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a^4}}\,\mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{a^2}{2b^2(1+a^2)}\,(2\xi\eta - a^2(\xi^2+\eta^2))} \\ &\le\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a^4}}\,\Big(\mathbb{E}\, e^{\frac{a^2}{2(1+a^2)}\,\xi^2}\Big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\chi^2(X, Z) < \infty$ whenever $a < a_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}$, which is automatically fulfilled in the case $\sigma^2 \le 2$. Moreover, by (20.4), the condition (20.2) is fulfilled as well. Thus, $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if $a < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2-1}}$. In the case $\sigma^2 \le 2$, this convergence holds for all admissible (a, b).

Distributions with finite Gaussian moment. If a random variable X with mean zero and variance one has finite $\mathbb{E}\, e^{cX^2}$ $(c > 0)$, then (20.4) is fulfilled for some $\sigma \ge 1$. This means that (17.4) is fulfilled for any $\alpha > 1$ such that $\alpha^* < \sigma^2$. Therefore, if $D_\alpha(X||Z) < \infty$, then $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ with any $\alpha < \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1}$.

Conditions in terms of exponential series. Consider a symmetric density of the form

$$p(x) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(x) \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{\sigma_k}{2^k k!}\, H_{2k}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$ for the random variable X with density p. As we discussed in Section 12, the condition (20.1) is fulfilled, if and only if the series

$$\chi^2(X, Z) \,=\, \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{(2k)!}{4^k\, k!^2}\, \sigma_k^2 \,\sim\, \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\, \sigma_k^2$$

is convergent (which is fulfilled automatically, when p is compactly supported and bounded). Using the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} H_{2k}(x)\varphi(x)\,dx = t^{2k}\,e^{t^2/2}$$

and assuming additionally that $\sup_{k\geq 2}\sigma_k \leq 1$, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}\,e^{tX} \;=\; e^{t^2/2}\left[1+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_k}{k!}\Big(\frac{t^2}{2}\Big)^k\right] \;\leq\; e^{t^2/2}\Big(e^{t^2/2}-\frac{t^2}{2}\Big) \;<\; e^{t^2}, \quad t\neq 0.$$

Hence, in this case, by Theorem 20.1, $\chi^2(Z_n, Z)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Moreover, according to the expansion (17.3), $\chi^2(Z_n, Z) = O(1/n^2)$. This assertion strengthens the result of [36].

Log-concave probability distributions. More examples including those in higher dimensions illustrate the multidimensional Theorem 17.2 within the class of densities $p(x) = e^{-V(x)}$ supported on some open convex region $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^d$. Let V be a C^2-convex function with Hessian satisfying $V''(x)\geq c\,I_d$ in the sense of positive definite matrices ($c>0$). The probability measures with such densities are known to admit logarithmic Sobolev inequalities (via the Bakry-Emery criterion). In particular, they satisfy transport-entropy inequalities which in turn can be used to get a subgaussian bound

$$\mathbb{E}\,e^{\lambda g(X)} \leq e^{\lambda^2/(2c)}, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Here, g may be any function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a Lipschitz semi-norm $\|g\|_{\rm Lip}\leq 1$, such that $\mathbb{E}\,g(X) = 0$ (cf. [50], [19]). In particular, if $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, one may choose linear functions $g(x) = \langle x,\theta\rangle$, $|\theta| = 1$. Hence, the condition (17.4) is fulfilled for $c > \frac{1}{\alpha^*}$. Moreover, the property $D_\alpha(X||Z) < \infty$ will also hold in this case. Indeed, by the convexity of V, we have

$$V(x)\geq V(x_0) + \langle V'(x_0), x-x_0\rangle + \frac{c}{2}\,|x-x_0|^2$$

for all $x, x_0\in\Omega$, which gives an upper pointwise bound $p(x)\leq c_0\,e^{\langle v,x\rangle - \frac{c}{2}|x|^2}$, $x\in\Omega$, with some $c_0>0$ and $v\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Applying Theorem 17.2, we get:

Corollary 20.2. *If a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with mean zero and identity covariance matrix has density $p = e^{-V}$ such that $V''\geq c\,I_d$ $(0<c\leq 1)$ on the supporting open convex region, then $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for all $\alpha < \frac{1}{1-c}$.*

Convolution of Bernoulli with Gaussian. One might wonder whether or not it is possible to replace the condition (17.1) in Theorem 17.1 with a slightly weaker requirement $\mathbb{E}\,e^{tX}\leq e^{t^2}$ (hoping that the strict inequality would automatically hold in view of the assumption $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$). The answer is negative, including the D_α-case as in Theorem 17.2 with its condition (17.4). Put $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ for a fixed $\alpha>1$.

Theorem 20.3. *There exists a random variable X with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$, and $D_\alpha(X||Z) < \infty$ for $Z \sim N(0,1)$, such that the inequality*

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} < e^{\beta t^2/2} \tag{20.5}$$

is fulfilled for all $t \neq 0$ except for exactly one point $t_0 \neq 0$.

Since (20.5) is violated (although at one point only), Theorem 17.2 implies that convergence $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ does not hold. Let us describe explicitly one family of distributions satisfying the assertion of this theorem. Returning to one of the previous examples, consider random variables of the form $X = a\xi + bZ$ $(a, b > 0)$, assuming that ξ takes two values q and $-p$ with probabilities p and q, respectively $(p, q > 0, p+q = 1)$, while $Z \sim N(0,1)$ is independent of ξ. Then $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, and we have the constraint

$$\mathbb{E}X^2 = pq\, a^2 + b^2 = 1. \tag{20.6}$$

The condition $D_\alpha(X||Z) < \infty$ obviously holds since $b < 1$.

It is known that the smallest positive constant $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(p,q)$ in the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{t\xi} = pe^{qt} + qe^{-pt} \le e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{20.7}$$

is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{p-q}{2\,(\log p - \log q)}$$

(called the subgaussian constant for the Bernoulli distribution, cf [24], Proposition 2.3). Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} \le e^{(\sigma^2 a^2 + b^2)\, t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with an optimal constant in the exponent on the right-hand side. Thus, according to (20.5), we get another constraint $\sigma^2 a^2 + b^2 = \beta$. Combining it with (20.6), we find that

$$a^2 = \frac{\beta - 1}{\sigma^2 - pq}, \quad b^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 - \beta pq}{\sigma^2 - pq},$$

which makes sense if $\sigma^2 > \beta pq$. It is easy to see that (20.7) becomes an equality for $t_0 = -2\,(\log p - \log q)$, which is a unique non-zero point with such property, as long as $p \neq q$. Therefore, the random variable X satisfies the assertion of Theorem 20.3, if and only if

$$\frac{p-q}{2\,(\log p - \log q)} > \beta pq.$$

This inequality does hold, provided that p is sufficiently close to 0 or 1, although it is not true for a neighborhood of $1/2$ (since at this point the inequality becomes $1 > \beta$).

21. Sufficient conditions for convergence in D_α

Following Kahane [46], a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called subgaussian, or its distribution is called subgaussian, if $\mathbb{E}\, e^{cX^2} < \infty$ for some $c > 0$. Equivalently, it has subgaussian tails, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X| \geq r\} \leq C\, e^{-cr^2/2}, \quad r > 0,$$

for some positive constants C and c which do not depend on r (here one may choose $C = 2$ at the expense of a smaller value of c). If X has mean zero, this property may also be stated in terms of the Laplace transform via the relation

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} \leq e^{\sigma^2 |t|^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{21.1}$$

which should hold with some σ^2. Then, the optimal value $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(X)$ is often called the subgaussian constant of the distribution of X.

Let $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be independent copies of a random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with mean zero and identity covariance matrix, and let

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,(X_1 + \cdots + X_n). \tag{21.2}$$

As before, denote by Z a standard normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. As we know from Theorem 17.2, a necessary condition for the convergence $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for an index $\alpha > 1$ is that X is subgaussian with a subgaussian constant satisfying $\sigma^2(X) \leq \alpha^*$.

Let us now comment on the other necessary condition in Theorem 17.2, $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) < \infty$ for some $n = n_\alpha$ (note that in the previous practical examples we assume that it holds with $n_0 = 1$). As we know from Part II, it is stronger than the boundedness of density p_n of Z_n. However, together with subgaussianity, the boundedness of densities turns out to be sufficient for the convergence in D_α within a corresponding range of indices.

Theorem 21.1. *Suppose that Z_n have bounded densities for large n. Then, under the condition (21.1), we have $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $\alpha < \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2-1}$ (that is, if $\alpha^* > \sigma^2$).*

Note that necessarily $\sigma^2 \geq 1$ due to the assumption that X has mean zero and unit covariance matrix (by comparing both sides of (21.1) with small t). The value $\sigma^2 = 1$ is quite possible, like in the example of the uniform distribution from the previous section. This case, which we discuss in details in the next sections, corresponds to the convergence of Z_n in all D_α simultaneously.

Corollary 21.2. *The convergence $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ holds true for any α, if and only if Z_n have bounded densities for large n, and $\sigma^2(X) = 1$.*

Let us recall that the boundedness of densities p_n of Z_n for some (and then for all large) n may be related to the integrability property of the Laplace transform

along the imaginary axis in the complex plane as the smoothness condition

$$\int |f(t)|^\nu \, dt < \infty \quad \text{for some } \nu \geq 1,$$

where $f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{i\langle t, X\rangle}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 21.1 follows from Theorem 17.2 and the following general observation from [9]. If a subgaussian random vector X with $\sigma^2(X) \leq \sigma^2$ has a density bounded by M, then the densities p_n of Z_n, $n \geq 2$, admit an upper pointwise bound

$$p_n(x) \leq e^{d/2} M \, \exp\Big\{ - \frac{n-1}{2n\sigma^2} \, |x|^2 \Big\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This implies

$$\int \Big(\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)}\Big)^\alpha \varphi(x)\, dx \leq c \int \exp\Big\{ - \frac{1}{2} \Big(\alpha \, \frac{n-1}{n\sigma^2} - (\alpha - 1)\Big) \, |x|^2 \Big\}\, dx,$$

where the constant c does not depend on x. The last integral is convergent for sufficiently large n, as long as $\alpha^* > \sigma^2$, and then we conclude that $D(Z_n||Z)$ is finite.

22. Strictly subgaussian distributions

In Corollary 21.2 we obtain a rather interesting class of subgaussian probability distributions. In what follows we restrict ourselves to dimension $d = 1$.

Definition 22.1. We say that a subgaussian random variable X is strictly subgaussian, or the distribution of X is strictly subgaussian, if the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} \leq e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{22.1}$$

holds with (best possible) constant $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X)$.

Thus, if the random variable X has mean zero and variance one, the normalized sums (21.2) satisfy $D_\alpha(Z_n||Z) \to 0$, if and only if Z_n have bounded densities for large n, and if X is strictly subgaussian.

This class was apparently first introduced in an explicit form by Buldygin and Kozachenko in [27] under the name "strongly subgaussian" and then analysed in more details in their book [28]. Recent investigations include the work by Arbel, Marchal and Nguyen [1] providing some examples and properties and by Guionnet and Husson [38]. In the latter paper, (22.1) appears as a condition for the validity of large deviation principles for the largest eigenvalue of Wigner matrices with the same rate function as in the case of Gaussian entries.

A simple sufficient condition for the strict subgaussianity was given by Newman in terms of location of zeros of the characteristic function

$$f(z) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{izX}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is extended, by the subgaussian property, from the real line to the complex plane as an entire function of order at most 2. As was stated in [57], X is strictly subgaussian, as long as $f(z)$ has only real zeros in $\mathbb{C}$ (a detailed proof was later given in [28]). Such probability distributions form an important class denoted by $\mathfrak{L}$, introduced and studied by Newman in the mid 1970's in connection with the Lee-Yang property which naturally arises in the context of ferromagnetic Ising models, cf. [57, 58, 59, 60]. This class is rather rich; it is closed under infinite convergent convolutions and under weak limits. For example, it includes Bernoulli convolutions and hence convolutions of uniform distributions on bounded symmetric intervals. Some classes of strictly subgaussian distributions including those outside $\mathfrak{L}$ have been recently discussed in [18].

Let us turn to the basic properties of strictly subgaussian distributions. Immediate consequences of the inequality (22.1) are the finiteness of moments of all orders of X and in particular the relations

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 \le \sigma^2,$$

which follow by an expansion of both sides of (22.1) around the point $t = 0$. Thus, the word "strictly" in Definition 22.1 reflects the requirement that the variance of X is exactly σ^2 in contrast with the usual subgaussianity, when (22.1) is required to hold for all t with some constant σ^2. In addition to the properties $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X^2 = \sigma^2$, the Taylor expansion of the exponential function in (22.1) around zero implies as well that necessarily

$$\mathbb{E}X^3 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X^4 \le 3\sigma^4.$$

Here an equality is attained for symmetric normal distributions (but not exclusively so).

The next statements are elementary.

Proposition 22.2. *If the random variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are independent and strictly subgaussian, then their sum $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ is strictly subgaussian.*

Proposition 22.3. *If a sequence of strictly subgaussian random variables $(X_n)_{n\ge1}$ converges weakly in distribution to a random variable X with finite second moment, and $\mathrm{Var}(X_n) \to \mathrm{Var}(X)$ as $n \to \infty$, then X is strictly subgaussian.*

Combining Proposition 22.2 with Proposition 22.3, we obtain:

Corollary 22.4. *Suppose that independent, strictly subgaussian random variables $(X_n)_{n\ge1}$ have variances satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Var}(X_n) < \infty$. Then the series*

$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$$

represents a strictly subgaussian random variable.

Here, the assumption that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Var}(X_n) < \infty$ ensures that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is convergent with probability one (by the Kolmogorov theorem), so

that the partial sums of the series are weakly convergent to the distribution of X.

Thus, the class of strictly subgaussian distributions is closed in the weak topology under infinite convolutions. Obviously, it is also closed when taking convex mixtures.

Proposition 22.5. *If X_n are strictly subgaussian random variables with $\mathrm{Var}(X_n) = \sigma^2$, and μ_n are distributions of X_n, then for any sequence $p_n \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1$, the random variable with distribution*

$$\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \mu_n$$

is strictly subgaussian and has variance $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$.

One should also mention that, if X is strictly subgaussian, then λX is strictly subgaussian for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, let us give a simple sufficient condition for a stronger property in comparison with (22.2). Introduce the log-Laplace transform

$$K(t) = \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 22.6 ([18])**.** *Let X be a non-normal strictly subgaussian random variable. If the function $t \to K(\sqrt{|t|})$ is concave on the half-axis $t > 0$ and concave on the half-axis $t < 0$, then, for any $t_0 > 0$, there exists $c = c(t_0)$, $0 < c < \sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X)$, such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} \leq e^{ct^2/2}, \quad |t| \geq t_0. \tag{22.2}$$

In a more compact form, for any $t_0 > 0$,

$$\sup_{|t| \geq t_0} \Big[\frac{1}{t^2} \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} \Big] < \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Var}(X). \tag{22.3}$$

23. Zeros of characteristic functions

One may try to describe the class of all strictly subgaussian distributions, for example, in terms of the characteristic function

$$f(z) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{izX}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{23.1}$$

The subgaussian property (22.1), being required with some $\sigma > 0$, ensures that f has an analytic extension to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ as an entire function of order at most 2, extending the definition (23.1) to arbitrary complex values of z. Note that if the characteristic function $f(z)$ of a subgaussian distribution does not have any real or complex zeros, a well-known theorem due to Marcinkiewicz implies that the distribution of X is already normal, cf. [55]. Thus, richer classes of subgaussian distributions like the strictly subgaussian distributions need to

have zeros. Interesting questions in this context are "what locations of a single zero of $f(z)$ would be compatible with the strict subgaussian property and the assumption that $f(z)$ is a characteristic function" and "to what extent does the Hadamard product representation of $f(z)$ in terms of zeros correspond to a stochastic decomposition of X as a sum of independent random variables?"

In particular, an application of Goldberg-Ostrovskiĭ's refinement of Hadamard's factorization theorem leads to the following simple sufficient condition for strictly subgaussian distributions (due to Newman [57], as we mentioned before).

Theorem 23.1. *Let X be a subgaussian random variable with mean zero. If all zeros of $f(z)$ are real, then X is strictly subgaussian.*

Let us recall Goldberg-Ostrovskiĭ's theorem [40]: If an entire ridge function $f(z)$ of a finite order has only real roots, then it can be represented as the product

$$f(z) = c\, e^{i\beta z - \gamma z^2/2} \prod_{n\geq 1} \Big(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_n^2}\Big), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{23.2}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \geq 0$, and $z_n > 0$ such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} z_n^{-2} < \infty$.

In the case where $f(z)$ is the characteristic function of a subgaussian random variable X with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X)$, it has to be a ridge entire function of order $\rho \leq 2$. If f has only real zeros, the distribution of X must be symmetric about the origin, and the representation (23.2) is applicable. Here, since $f(0) = 1$, $f'(0) = 0$ and $f''(0) = -\sigma^2$, we necessarily have $c = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Hence, this representation is simplified to

$$f(z) = e^{-\gamma z^2/2} \prod_{n\geq 1} \Big(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_n^2}\Big) \tag{23.3}$$

with

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{z_n^2}, \tag{23.4}$$

so that $\gamma \leq \sigma^2$. Applying (23.3) with $z = -it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get a similar representation for the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = e^{\gamma t^2/2} \prod_{n\geq 1} \Big(1 + \frac{t^2}{z_n^2}\Big),$$

which implies the desired bound (22.1) by applying the inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$ together with (23.4). If this product is non-empty (that is, X is non-normal), we actually obtain a stronger property such as (22.2)–(22.3) according to Proposition 22.6.

Let us rewrite (23.3) in the form

$$f(t) = e^{-(3\gamma - \sigma^2)\, t^2/4} \prod_{n\geq 1} \Big(1 - \frac{t^2}{z_n^2}\Big)\, e^{-\frac{t^2}{2z_n^2}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{23.5}$$

The terms in this product represent characteristic functions of random variables $\frac{1}{z_n}X_n$ such that all X_n have the density $p(x) = x^2\varphi(x)$. Hence, if $\gamma \geq \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{z_n^2}$, or equivalently $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{3}\sigma^2$, the function $f(t)$ in (23.5) represents the characteristic function of the random variable

$$X = cZ + \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{z_n}X_n,$$

assuming that X_n are independent, and Z is a standard normal random variable independent of all X_n. Necessarily, $c^2 = \frac{3}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$.

The condition of Theorem 23.1 can easily be verified for many interesting classes including, for example, arbitrary Bernoulli sums and (finite or infinite) convolutions of uniform distributions on bounded symmetric intervals. It is however not necessary, as illustrated by the next generalization of Theorem 23.1.

Theorem 23.2. *Let X be a subgaussian random variable with a symmetric distribution. If all zeros of $f(z)$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0$ lie in the cone centered on the real axis defined by*

$$|\mathrm{Arg}(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}, \tag{23.6}$$

then X is strictly subgaussian. Moreover, if X is not normal, the refining property (22.3) holds true.

The proof is based upon Hadamard's factorization theorem, cf. [18].

On the other hand, (23.6) turns out to be a necessary condition for the strict subgaussianity for the following subclass of probability distributions.

Theorem 23.3 ([18])**.** *Let X be a random variable with a symmetric subgaussian distribution. Suppose that f has exactly one zero $z = x + iy$ in the positive quadrant $x, y \geq 0$. Then X is strictly subgaussian, if and only if (23.6) holds true.*

As a consequence, one can partially address the following question from the theory of entire characteristic functions (which is one of the central problems in this area): What can one say about the possible location of zeros of such functions?

Theorem 23.4 ([18])**.** *Let (z_n) be a finite or infinite sequence of non-zero complex numbers in the angle $|\mathrm{Arg}(z_n)| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}$ such that*

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{|z_n|^2} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a symmetric strictly subgaussian distribution whose characteristic function has zeros exactly at the points $\pm z_n$, $\pm\bar{z}_n$.

One can show that a random variable X with such distribution may be constructed as the sum $X = \sum_n X_n$ of independent strictly subgaussian random

variables X_n whose characteristic functions have zeros at the points $\pm z_n$, $\pm\bar{z}_n$ for every n (and only at these points). Moreover, one may require that

$$\mathrm{Var}(X) = \Lambda \sum_n \frac{1}{|z_n|^2}$$

with any prescribed value $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0$ where Λ_0 is a universal constant ($\Lambda_0 \sim 5.83$).

24. Examples of strictly subgaussian distributions

An application of Corollary 22.4 allows to construct a rather rich family of strictly subgaussian probability distributions like the ones in the next 7 examples from Newman's class $\mathfrak{L}$.

Examples

24.1. First of all, if a random variable $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ has a normal distribution with mean zero and variance σ^2, then it is strictly subgaussian. In this case,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that the inequality in (22.1) becomes an equality.

24.2. If X has a symmetric Bernoulli distribution, supported on two points a and $-a$, then it is strictly subgaussian. If, for definiteness, $a = 1$, then $\mathrm{Var}(X) = 1$, and the Laplace transform of the distribution of X is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \cosh(t) = \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

24.3. If X is an infinite Bernoulli sum, that is,

$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n X_n, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_n = \pm 1\} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty,$$

with X_n independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables, then it is strictly subgaussian with variance $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$. The corresponding Laplace transform and characteristic function f of X are given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \cosh(a_n t), \quad f(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(a_n t).$$

24.4. If the random variable X is uniformly distributed on a finite interval $[-a, a]$, $a > 0$, then it is strictly subgaussian. In this case it may be represented as the sum

$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a}{2^n} X_n, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_n = \pm 1\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

with X_n independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables. Hence, this case is covered by the previous example. The corresponding Laplace transform is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \frac{\sinh(at)}{at}.$$

Recall that the strict subgaussian property in this case was already mentioned in Section 20, cf. (20.3).

24.5. If the random variables X_n are independent and uniformly distributed on the interval $[-1, 1]$, then the infinite sum

$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n X_n \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$$

represents a strictly subgaussian random variable. The corresponding Laplace transform is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(a_n t)}{a_n t}.$$

24.6. Suppose that X has density $p(x) = x^2\varphi(x)$. Then $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}X^2 = 3$, and the Laplace transform satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = (1 + t^2)\, e^{t^2/2} \le e^{3t^2/2}.$$

Hence, X is strictly subgaussian.

24.7. More generally, if X has a density of the form

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2d-1)!!}\, x^{2d}\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ d = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}X^2 = 2d + 1$, and the Laplace transform satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \frac{1}{(2d-1)!!}\, H_{2d}(it)\, e^{t^2/2} \le e^{(2d+1)\, t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, X is strictly subgaussian. The last inequality follows from Theorem 23.1, since the Chebyshev-Hermite polynomials have real zeros, only. Note that the characteristic function of X is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{itX} = \frac{1}{(2d-1)!!}\, H_{2d}(t)\, e^{-t^2/2}.$$

24.8. In connection with the problem of location of zeros, one may examine probability distributions with characteristic functions of the form

$$f(t) = e^{-t^2/2}\,(1 - \alpha t^2 + \beta t^4), \tag{24.1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters. When $\beta = 0$, we obtain a characteristic function, if and only if $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. In the general case, it is necessary that $\beta \ge 0$ for $f(t)$

to be a characteristic function (although negative values of α are possible for small β). The equality (24.1) defines a characteristic function, if and only if the point (α, β) belongs to one of the following two regions:

$$4\beta - 2\sqrt{\beta(1-2\beta)} \le \alpha \le 3\beta + 1, \qquad 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{3},$$

or

$$4\beta - 2\sqrt{\beta(1-2\beta)} \le \alpha \le 4\beta + 2\sqrt{\beta(1-2\beta)}, \quad \frac{1}{3} \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Moreover, given $\beta \ge 0$, a random variable X with characteristic function of the form (24.1) is strictly subgaussian, if and only if $\alpha \ge \sqrt{2\beta}$ (cf. [18]).

24.9. One may illustrate the previous characterization by the following simple example. For $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$, admissible values of α cover the interval $\sqrt{2/3} \le \alpha \le 2$. Choosing $\alpha = \sqrt{2/3}$, we obtain the characteristic function

$$f(t) = e^{-t^2/2}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\, t^2 + \frac{1}{3} t^4\right)$$

of a strictly subgaussian random variable. It has four distinct complex zeros z_k defined by $z^2 = r^2\,(1 \pm i)$ with $r^2 = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2/3}$, so

$$z_{1,2} = (2r)^{1/4}\, e^{\pm i\pi/8}, \quad z_{3,4} = (2r)^{1/4}\, e^{\pm 7i\pi/8}.$$

Note that $|\mathrm{Arg}(z_{1,2})| = \frac{\pi}{8}$. As stated in Theorem 23.3, it is necessary that $|\mathrm{Arg}(z)| \le \frac{\pi}{8}$ for all zeros with $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$ in the class of all strictly subgaussian probability distributions with characteristic functions of the form (24.1).

24.10. In order to describe the possible location of zeros, let us refine the characterization in Example 24.8 in the class of functions

$$f(t) = e^{-t^2/2}\,(1 - wt)(1 + wt)(1 - \bar{w}t)(t + \bar{w}t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{24.2}$$

with $w = a + bi$. Thus, in the complex plane $f(z)$ has two or four distinct zeros $z = \pm 1/w$, $z = \pm 1/\bar{w}$ depending on whether $b = 0$ or $b \ne 0$. Note that

$$|\mathrm{Arg}(z)| = |\mathrm{Arg}(w)|$$

when z and w are taken from the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(w) > 0$.

Assuming for definiteness that $a > 0$, it was shown in [18] that the function $f(t)$ in (24.2) represents a characteristic function of a strictly subgaussian random variable, if and only if

$$a \le 2^{-1/4} \sim 0.8409,$$

while $|b|$ is sufficiently small. More precisely, this is the case whenever $|b| \le b(a)$ with a certain function $b(a) \ge 0$ such that $b(2^{-1/4}) = 0$ and $b(a) > 0$ for $0 < a < 2^{-1/4}$. Moreover, there exists a universal constant $0 < a_0 < 2^{-1/4}$, $a_0 \sim 0.7391$, such that for $0 \le a \le a_0$ and only for these a-values, the property $|b| \le b(a)$ is equivalent to the angle requirement $\mathrm{Arg}(w) \le \frac{\pi}{8}$. As for the values $a_0 < a \le 2^{-1/4}$, this angle must be smaller.

25. Laplace transforms with periodic components

Following the previous examples, one may naturally expect that in the non-normal case the strict subgaussianity (22.1) can be strengthened to the strict inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} < e^{\sigma^2 t^2/2}, \quad t \neq 0, \tag{25.1}$$

with $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X)$. However, this turns out to be false, and moreover, the equality in (25.1) may be attained for an infinite sequence of points $t_n \to \infty$. Correspondingly, the angle property $|\mathrm{Arg}(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}$ as in Theorem 23.2 for the location of zeros of the characteristic function $f(z)$ of X is no longer true in general. It may actually happen that this function has infinitely many zeros z_n such that $\mathrm{Arg}(z_n) \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ as $n \to \infty$. That is, z_n may be getting close to the imaginary axis, in contrast to the property that on this axis $f(z)$ becomes the Laplace transform $f(-it) = L(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}$ (which is real, positive, and is greater than 1).

To better realize such a surprising phenomenon, we now turn to another interesting class of Laplace transforms that contain periodic components.

Definition 25.1. We say that the distribution μ of a random variable X is periodic with respect to the standard normal law, with period $h > 0$, if it has a density $p(x)$ such that the function

$$q(x) = \frac{p(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{d\mu(x)}{d\gamma(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is periodic with period h, that is, $q(x + h) = q(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here, q represents the density of μ with respect to the standard Gaussian measure γ. We denote the class of all such distributions by $\mathfrak{F}_h$, and say that X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_h$. Let us briefly recall several observations from [18] about this interesting class of probability distributions.

Proposition 25.2. *If a random variable X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_h$, then it is subgaussian, and the function*

$$\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}\, e^{-t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is h-periodic. It may be extended to the complex plane as an entire function of order at most 2. Conversely, if $\psi(t)$ for a subgaussian random variable X is h-periodic, then X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_h$, as long as the characteristic function $f(t)$ of X is integrable on the real line.

If X belongs to the class $\mathfrak{F}_h$, then for all integers m,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{mhX} = e^{(mh)^2/2},$$

implying that the random variable X is subgaussian.

Since

$$f(t) = L(it) = \psi(it)\, e^{-t^2/2},$$

the integrability assumption in the reverse statement is fulfilled, as long as $\psi(z)$ has order smaller than 2, that is, when $|\psi(z)| = O(\exp\{|z|^\rho\})$ as $|z| \to \infty$ for some $\rho < 2$.

The periodicity property is stable under convolutions: The normalized sum Z_n of n independent copies of X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_{h\sqrt{n}}$, if X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_h$.

The class $\mathfrak{F}_h$ with $h = 2\pi$ contains probability distributions whose Laplace transform has the form $L(t) = \psi(t)\, e^{t^2/2}$, where ψ is a trigonometric polynomial. More precisely, consider the functions of the form

$$\psi(t) = 1 - cP(t), \quad P(t) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} (a_k \cos(kt) + b_k \sin(kt)),$$

where a_k, b_k are given real coefficients, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero parameter.

Proposition 25.3. *If $P(0) = 0$ and $|c|$ is small enough, then $L(t) = \psi(t)\, e^{t^2/2}$ represents the Laplace transform of a subgaussian random variable X with density $p(x) = q(x)\varphi(x)$, where $q(x)$ is a non-negative trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N.*

Using the Fourier inversion formula, the polynomial q can be explicitly written as

$$q(x) = 1 - cQ(x), \quad Q(x) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{k^2/2}\, (a_k \cos(kt) + b_k \sin(kt)).$$

Hence, if $|c|$ is small enough, $q(x)$ is bounded away from zero, so that $p(x)$ is non-negative. Moreover, the requirement $P(0) = a_0 + \cdots + a_N = 0$ guarantees that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)\, dx = 1$.

Since q is bounded, we also have $T_\infty(p||\varphi) < \infty$.

For further applications to the CLT, there are two more constraints coming from the assumption that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$.

Corollary 25.4. *Suppose that the polynomial $P(t)$ satisfies*

1) $P(0) = P'(0) = P''(0) = 0$;
2) $P(t) \geq 0$ *for* $0 < t < h$, *where h is the smallest period of P.*

If $c > 0$ is small enough, then $L(t)$ represents the Laplace transform of a strictly subgaussian random variable X.

In terms of the coefficients of the polynomial, the moment assumptions $P'(0) = P''(0) = 0$ are equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^2 a_k = 0.$$

The assumption 2) implies that $0 < \psi(t) \leq 1$, and if $P(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < h$, then the equation $\psi(t) = 1$ has no solution in this interval.

Example 25.5. Consider the transforms of the form

$$L(t) = (1 - c\sin^m(t))\, e^{t^2/2} \tag{25.2}$$

with an arbitrary integer $m \geq 3$, where $|c|$ is small enough. Then $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$, and the cumulants of X satisfy $\gamma_k(X) = 0$ for all $3 \leq k \leq m-1$.

Moreover, if $m \geq 4$ is even and $c > 0$, the random variable X with the Laplace transform (25.2) is strictly subgaussian. In the case $m = 4$, (25.2) corresponds to

$$P(t) = \sin^4 t = \frac{1}{8}\,(3 - 4\cos(2t) + \cos(4t)).$$

The examples based on the trigonometric polynomials may be generalized to the setting of 2π-periodic functions represented by Fourier series

$$P(t) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k\cos(kt) + b_k\sin(kt))$$

with coefficients satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{k^2/2}(|a_k| + |b_k|) < \infty$.

Remark 25.6. Suppose that a non-normal random variable X belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_h$. By analyticity and h-periodicity of $\psi(t)$ on the real line, we have

$$\psi(z + h) = \psi(z) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{25.3}$$

The characteristic function

$$f(z) = L(iz) = \psi(iz)\, e^{-z^2/2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

must have at least one zero in the complex plane, say z_0. But then, according to (25.3), all numbers $z_n = z_0 + ihn$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, will be zeros as well. Moreover, for this sequence $|\mathrm{Arg}(z_n)| \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ as $|n| \to \infty$.

26. Richter's theorem and its refinement

We can now return to the field of local limit theorems for strong distances and focus on the Rényi and Tsallis distances of infinite order. Recall that

$$T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) = \mathrm{ess\,sup}_x \frac{p_n(x) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)}, \tag{26.1}$$

where p_n denote the densities of the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

of n independent copies of a random variables X with mean zero and variance one (assuming that these densities exist and bounded for large n).

The closeness of $p_n(x)$ to $\varphi(x)$ on growing intervals $|x| \le T_n$ is governed by several limit theorems. For example, it follows from Theorem 16.1 that, under the moment assumption $\mathbb{E}\,|X|^k < \infty$ with some integer $k \ge 3$, we have

$$\sup_{|x| \le T_n} \frac{|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|}{\varphi(x)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $T_n = \sqrt{(k-2)\log n}$. An asymptotic behavior of $p_n(x)$ in the larger region $|x| = o(\sqrt{n})$ is governed under a stronger moment-type assumption by a theorem due to Richter [65].

Theorem 26.1. *Let $\mathbb{E}\, e^{c|X|} < \infty$ for some $c > 0$, and let Z_n have a bounded density for some $n = n_0$. Then Z_n with large n have bounded continuous densities p_n satisfying*

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \exp\Big\{ \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}}\, \lambda\Big(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)\Big\} \Big(1 + O\Big(\frac{1+|x|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)\Big) \tag{26.2}$$

uniformly for $|x| = o(\sqrt{n})$. Here the function $\lambda(z)$ is represented as an infinite power series in z which is absolutely convergent in a neighborhood of the point $z = 0$.

The proof of this theorem may also be found in the book by Ibragimov and Linnik [44], Theorem 7.1.1, where it was additionally assumed that X has a continuous bounded density. The representation (26.2) was further investigated there for zones of normal attraction of the form $|x| = o(n^\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

One immediate consequence of (26.2) is that

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)} \to 1 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{26.3}$$

uniformly in the region $|x| = o(n^{1/6})$. However, in general this is no longer true outside this region. To better understand the possible behavior of the densities, one needs to involve the information about the coefficients in the power series representation

$$\lambda(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k z^k,$$

which is called Cramer's series. As was mentioned in [44],

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{6}\,\gamma_3, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24}\,(\gamma_4 - 3\gamma_3^2).$$

However, in order to judge the behavior $\lambda(z)$ for small z, one should describe the leading term in this series. The analysis of the saddle point associated to the log-Laplace transform of the distribution of X shows that

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{\gamma_m}{m!}\, z^{m-3} + O(|z|^{m-2}) \quad \text{as } z \to 0, \tag{26.4}$$

where γ_m denotes the first non-zero cumulant of X (when X is not normal). Equivalently, m is the smallest integer such that $m \geq 3$ and $\mathbb{E}X^m \neq \mathbb{E}Z^m$, where Z is a standard normal random variable. In this case

$$\gamma_m = \mathbb{E}X^m - \mathbb{E}Z^m.$$

Using (26.4) in (26.2), we obtain a more informative representation

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \exp\left\{\frac{\gamma_m}{m!}\,\frac{x^m}{n^{\frac{m}{2}-1}} + O\Big(\frac{x^{m+1}}{n^{\frac{m}{2}}}\Big)\right\}\Big(1 + O\Big(\frac{1+|x|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)\Big), \tag{26.5}$$

which holds uniformly for $|x| = o(\sqrt{n})$. With this refinement, we see that the convergence in (26.3) holds true uniformly over all x in the potentially larger region

$$|x| \leq \varepsilon_n\, n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{m}} \quad (\varepsilon_n \to 0).$$

For example, if the distribution of X is symmetric about the origin, then $\gamma_3 = 0$, so that necessarily $m \geq 4$.

For an application to the D_∞-distance, it is desirable to get some information for larger intervals such as $|x| \leq \tau_0\sqrt{n}$ and in particular to replace the term $O(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{n}})$ in (26.2) with an explicit n-dependent quantity. For this aim, the following refinement of Theorem 26.1 was recently proposed in [17].

Theorem 26.2. *Let the conditions of Theorem 26.1 be fulfilled. There is a constant $\tau_0 > 0$ with the following property. Putting $\tau = x/\sqrt{n}$, we have for $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$*

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{\varphi(x)} = e^{n\tau^3\lambda(\tau)-\mu(\tau)}\,\big(1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^3)\big), \tag{26.6}$$

where $\mu(\tau)$ is an analytic function in $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$ such that $\mu(0) = 0$.

Here, similarly to (26.4),

$$\mu(\tau) = \frac{1}{2(m-2)!}\,\gamma_m\tau^{m-2} + O(|\tau|^{m-1}).$$

As a consequence of (26.6), which cannot be obtained on the basis of (26.2) or (26.5), we have the following assertion which was also obtained in [17].

Corollary 26.3. *Under the same conditions, suppose that the first non-zero cumulant γ_m of X is negative with $m \geq 4$ being even. There exist constants $\tau_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$ with the following property. If $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$, $\tau = x/\sqrt{n}$, then, for all n large enough,*

$$\frac{p_n(x) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \leq \frac{c(\log n)^3}{n}. \tag{26.7}$$

Remark 26.4. The hypothesis on cumulants in Corollary 26.3 is always fulfilled for strictly subgaussian distributions. Indeed, since necessarily $\mathbb{E}X^3 = 0$, the log-Laplace transform admits a power series representation

$$\log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \frac{1}{2}\,t^2 + \sum_{k=4}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma_k}{k!}\,t^k,$$

which is absolutely convergent in some interval $|t| \le t_0$ ($t_0 > 0$). Hence, as $t \to 0$,

$$\log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \frac{1}{2}\, t^2 + \frac{\gamma_m}{m!}\, t^m + O(t^{m+1}).$$

The strict subgaussianity $\log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} \le \frac{1}{2}\, t^2$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, implies that m must be even, and $\gamma_m < 0$.

27. CLT in D_∞ with rate of convergence

As before, let $(X_n)_{n\ge 1}$ be independent copies of a random variable X with mean zero and variance one, and let p_n denote the densities of the normalized sums

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

assuming that such densities exist for large n. Recall that, for the convergence of the Tsallis distances

$$T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x \frac{p_n(x) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \tag{27.1}$$

to zero, it is necessary that X be strictly subgaussian. We will now require that a slightly stronger property holds true,

$$\sup_{|t|\ge t_0} \left[e^{-t^2/2}\, \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}\right] < 1 \quad \text{for all } t_0 > 0 \tag{27.2}$$

(note that it is weaker in comparison with the properties (22.2)–(22.3) from Proposition 22.6). In that case, the inequality (26.7) can be extended to the whole real line, and we arrive at the following statement proved in [20].

Theorem 27.1. *Let X be a non-normal random variable satisfying the condition (27.2). If $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) < \infty$ for some n, then*

$$T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) = O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\,(\log n)^3\Big) \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty. \tag{27.3}$$

Let us recall that the condition (27.2) is fulfilled, if the characteristic function $f(z)$ of X has only real zeros in the complex plane (the Newman class). Moreover, according to Theorem 23.2, it is fulfilled under a weaker assumption that X has a symmetric distribution, and that all zeros with $\mathrm{Re}(z) \ge 0$ lie in the cone $|\mathrm{Arg}(z)| \le \frac{\pi}{8}$. Hence, Theorem 27.1 is applicable to all previous examples except for those which we discussed in Section 25 about the Laplace transforms with periodic components.

In the proof of (27.3), the supremum in (27.1) should be first restricted to the interval $|x| \le \tau_0\sqrt{n}$ with a constant τ_0 taken from Corollary 26.3. It may be applied as explained in Remark 26.4, thus leading to the desired upper bound (26.7).

The extension of (27.1) to the regions of the form $|x| \geq \tau\sqrt{n}$ is based on the following assertion of independent interest, which we state in terms of the function

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 - K(t), \quad K(t) = \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that the strict subgaussianity means that $A(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (when $\mathrm{Var}(X) = 1$ which is however not assumed below).

Proposition 27.2 ([20])**.** *Let p_n denote the density of Z_n constructed for a subgaussian random variable X whose density p has finite Rényi distance of infinite order to the standard normal law. Then, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} \leq c\sqrt{2}\, e^{-(n-1)A(x)}, \tag{27.4}$$

where $c = 1 + T_\infty(p||\varphi)$.

Corollary 27.3. *If $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathrm{Var}(X) = 1$, and X is strictly subgaussian, then*

$$T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \leq \sqrt{2}\,\big(1 + T_\infty(p||\varphi)\big) - 1.$$

Thus, the finiteness of the Tsallis distance $T_\infty(p||\varphi)$ for a strictly subgaussian random variable X with density p ensures the boundedness of $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi)$ for all normalized sums Z_n.

If $A(x)$ is bounded away from zero, the inequality (27.4) shows that the ratio on the left-hand side is exponentially small for growing n. In particular, this holds for any non-normal random variable X satisfying the separation property (27.2). Then we immediately obtain:

Corollary 27.4. *Suppose that X has a density p with finite $T_\infty(p||\varphi)$. Under the condition (27.2), for any $\tau_0 > 0$, there exist $A > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that the densities p_n of Z_n satisfy*

$$p_n(x) \leq A\delta^n \varphi(x), \quad |x| \geq \tau_0\sqrt{n}. \tag{27.5}$$

As a by-product, this assertion implies that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p_n(x) - \varphi(x)|}{\varphi(x)} \geq 1. \tag{27.6}$$

Therefore, one cannot hope to strengthen the Tsallis distance by introducing a modulus sign in the definition (27.1).

Thus, combining (27.6) with (26.7), we arrive at the desired rate in (27.3).

In particular, if the random variable X is bounded and has a bounded density p, and if it satisfies (27.2), the conditions of Theorem 27.1 are fulfilled.

The next corollary from [20] describes more examples.

Corollary 27.5. *Assume that X satisfies (27.2) and is represented as*

$$X = c_0\eta_0 + c_1\eta_1 + c_2\eta_2, \quad c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1, \quad c_1, c_2 > 0,$$

where the independent random variables η_k are strictly subgaussian with variance one and satisfy $T_\infty(\eta_k||\varphi) < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2$. Then we have the CLT with rate (27.3).

As an interesting subclass, one may consider infinite weighted convolutions, that is, random variables of the form

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 = 1.$$

Corollary 27.6. *Assume that the i.i.d. random variables ξ_k are strictly subgaussian and have a bounded, compactly supported density with variance* $\mathrm{Var}(\xi_1) = 1$. *If ξ_1 satisfies (27.2), then the CLT (27.3) holds true.*

This statement includes, for example, infinite weighted convolutions of the uniform distribution on a bounded symmetric interval.

28. Action of Esscher transform on convolutions

While the strengthened variant of the strict subgaussianity via the separation property (27.2) guarantees a good rate of normal approximation in T_∞, it is also natural to ask about necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of the CLT with respect to the Rényi distance D_∞ in full generality without specification of the rate of convergence. An approach to this rather sophisticated question has been recently proposed in [20]. It is based on the careful analysis of the Esscher transform, which generates the semigroup of probability densities

$$Q_h p(x) = \frac{1}{L(h)}\, e^{hx} p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with parameter $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Here p is a density of a subgaussian random variable X, and

$$(Lp)(t) = L(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} p(x)\, dx$$

is the Laplace transform associated to p. We call the distribution with density $Q_h p$ the shifted distribution of X at step h, to emphasize the identity $Q_h \varphi(x) = \varphi(x + h)$ for the standard normal density.

The early history of this transform goes back to the works by Esscher [35] in actuarial science, by Khinchin [47] in statistical mechanics, and by Daniels [33] in statistics. It has a number of remarkable properties. In addition to the semi-group property

$$Q_{h_1}(Q_{h_2} p) = Q_{h_1 + h_2} p, \quad h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

one should emphasize its multiplicativity with respect to convolutions, i.e.

$$Q_h p = Q_h q_1 * \cdots * Q_h q_n, \tag{28.1}$$

whenever $p = q_1 * \cdots * q_n$ (similarly to the Laplace transform with the difference that the convolution in the conclusion should be replaced with the product). As a consequence, the Esscher transform appears naturally in the following density representation.

Proposition 28.1. *Let p_n denote the density of the normalized sum Z_n of n independent copies of a subgaussian random variable X with density p. Putting $x_n = x\sqrt{n}$, $h_n = h\sqrt{n}$ $(x, h \in \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} \;=\; \sqrt{2\pi}\, e^{\frac{n}{2}\,(x-h)^2 - nA(h)}\, Q_{h_n} p_n(x_n). \tag{28.2}$$

Here let us recall that

$$A(h) = \frac{1}{2}h^2 - K(h), \quad K(h) = \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{hX}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using the subadditivity of the maximum-of-density functional $M(X) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x p(x)$ along convolutions, this allows us to establish Proposition 27.2. Its upper bound (27.4) can be applied outside the set of points x where $A(x)$ is bounded away from zero, more precisely – outside the critical zone

$$A_n(a) = \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R} : A(x) \le \frac{a}{n-1}\Big\}, \quad a > 0.$$

Then (27.4) leads to

$$\frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} \le c\sqrt{2}\, e^{-a}, \quad x \notin A_n(a), \tag{28.3}$$

which is effective as long as $c = 1 + T_\infty(p||\varphi)$ is finite. If a is large, this upper bound may be used in the proof of the CLT with respect to the distance T_∞ restricted to the complement of the critical zone.

As for the points $x \in A_n(a)$, we need to study the term $Q_{h_n} p_n(x_n)$ in (28.2) by different tools, which requires to involve a variant of the uniform local limit theorem (2.6) with a quantitative error term, as stated below.

Proposition 28.2. *Let $(X_n)_{n\ge 1}$ be independent copies of a random variable X such that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathrm{Var}(X) = 1$, $\beta_3 = \mathbb{E}\,|X|^3 < \infty$. If X has a density bounded M, the normalized sums Z_n have continuous densities p_n for all $n \ge 2$ satisfying*

$$\sup_x |p_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\, M^2 \beta_3$$

with some absolute constant $c > 0$.

After proper centering and normalization, this statement can be applied to $Q_{h_n} p_n$, using the property that these densities have a convolution structure according to (28.1). Namely, for a subgaussian random variable X with density p, denote by $X(h)$ a random variable with density $Q_h p$ $(h \in \mathbb{R})$. It is subgaussian, and has mean and variance

$$m_h = \mathbb{E}X(h) = K'(h), \quad \sigma_h^2 = \mathrm{Var}(X(h)) = K''(h).$$

The last equality shows that necessarily $K''(h) > 0$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$, since otherwise the random variable $X(h)$ would be a constant a.s. Moreover, if $c = 1+T_\infty(p||\varphi)$ is finite, it was shown in [20] that, for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sigma_h^2 \geq \frac{\pi}{6c^2}\, e^{-2A(h)}. \tag{28.4}$$

In addition, if $h \in A_n(a)$ and $n \geq 4(a+1)$, the normalized random variables $\widehat{X}(h) = \frac{X(h)-m_h}{\sigma_h}$ have a finite third absolute moment, and more precisely

$$\mathbb{E}\,|\widehat{X}(h)|^3 \leq C\sigma_h^{-3}$$

up to some absolute constant C. This allows one to develop an application of Proposition 28.2 with $\widehat{X}(h)$ in place of X and with $h = x$, which leads to the more informative representation compared to (28.2). Define

$$v_x = \frac{x - m_x}{\sigma_x} = \frac{A'(x)}{\sigma_x}.$$

Proposition 28.3. *Let X be a strictly subgaussian random variable with variance one having a density p such that $c = 1 + T_\infty(p||\varphi)$ is finite. Then, for all $x \in A_n(a)$, $n \geq 4(a+1)$,*

$$\frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} = \frac{1}{\sigma_x}\, e^{-nA(x)-nv_x^2/2} + \frac{Bc^4}{\sqrt{n}}, \tag{28.5}$$

where $B = B_n(x)$ is bounded by an absolute constant.

It is worthwhile noting that $A''(h) = 1 - K''(h) \leq 1$ which readily implies $A'(h)^2 \leq 2A(h)$. Hence, by (28.4),

$$v_x^2 \leq \frac{2A(x)}{\sigma_x^2} \leq \frac{12}{\pi}\, c^2 e^{A(x)} A(x) \leq 12\, c^2 A(x), \tag{28.6}$$

assuming that $x \in A_n(a)$ with $a \leq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ in the last step.

29. Necessary and sufficient conditions

As before, suppose that $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are independent copies of the random variable X with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}(X) = 1$. We assume that:

1) Z_n has density p_n such that $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) < \infty$ for some $n = n_0$;
2) X is strictly subgaussian, that is, $A(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us now describe a main result which was obtained in [20] and later refined in [22] towards the question about the CLT with respect to T_∞. Note that the log-Laplace transform $K(t) = \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}$ represents a C^∞-smooth function on the real line, so is

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 - K(t).$$

Theorem 29.1. *For the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

a) $A''(t) = 0$ *for every point* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $A(t) = 0$*;*
b) $\lim_{k\to\infty} A''(t_k) = 0$ *for every sequence* $t_k \to \pm\infty$ *such that* $A(t_k) \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

These conditions may be combined in one as

$$\lim_{A(t)\to 0} A''(t) = 0, \tag{29.1}$$

which is kind of continuity of the second derivative A'' with respect to A.

Under the separation property (27.2), the condition b) is fulfilled automatically, while the equation $A(t) = 0$ has only one solution $t = 0$. But near zero, due to the strict subgaussianity, $A(t) = O(t^4)$ and $A''(t) = O(t^2)$. Hence, the condition a) is fulfilled as well, and we obtain the CLT with respect to T_∞.

In [20], the condition b) was stated in a slightly weaker form

b') $\limsup_{k\to\infty} A''(t_k) \le 0$ for every sequence $t_k \to \pm\infty$ such that $A(t_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Correspondingly, (29.1) was replaced with

$$\lim_{A(t)\to 0} \max(A''(t), 0) = 0. \tag{29.2}$$

However, as was noticed in [22], in presence of the strict sub-Gaussianity condition 2), there is a lower bound

$$A''(t) \ge -cA(t)^{1/4}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

holding true with some absolute constant $c > 0$, provided that $0 \le A(t) \le 1$. Hence, $\liminf_{A(t)\to 0} A''(t) \ge 0$, which shows that the assertions (29.1) and (29.2) are equivalent.

Let us now explain the appearance of the condition (29.2), assuming for simplicity that $n_0 = 1$. For the sufficiency part, choose $a = \log(1/\varepsilon)$ for a given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, so that, by (28.3),

$$\sup_{x\notin A_n(a)} \frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} \le c\sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon.$$

In the case $x \in A_n(a)$ with $n \ge 4(a + 1)$, the equality (28.5) is applicable and implies

$$\sup_{x\in A_n(a)} \frac{p_n(x\sqrt{n})}{\varphi(x\sqrt{n})} \le \sup_{x\in A_n(a)} \frac{1}{\sigma_x} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),$$

where we recall that $\sigma_x^2 = K''(x)$. Hence,

$$1 + T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \le \sup_{x\in A_n(a)} \frac{1}{\sigma_x} + c\sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon + O\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Big).$$

Thus, a sufficient condition for the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ is that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in A_n(\log(1/\varepsilon))} \sigma_x^{-2} \le 1.$$

Equivalently, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in A_n(a)} K''(x) \ge 1$ for any $a > 0$, that is,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in A_n(a)} A''(x) \le 0.$$

Since $A(x) = O(\frac{1}{n})$ on every set $A_n(a)$, this may be written as (29.2).

To see that the condition (29.2) is also necessary, let us return to the representation (28.5). Assuming that $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$, it implies that, for any $a > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in A_n(a)} \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \exp\Big\{ -n\Big(A(x) + \frac{1}{2}v_x^2\Big)\Big\} \le 1. \tag{29.3}$$

Recalling (28.6), we have $v_x^2 \le 12\,c^2 A(x)$ for all $x \in A_n(a)$ with $a \le 1$ and $n \ge 2$. Since $nA(x) \le 2a$ on the set $A_n(a)$ and $c \ge 1$, it follows that

$$A(x) + \frac{1}{2}v_x^2 \le 7c^2 A(x) \le \frac{14c^2}{n}\, a,$$

and (29.3) implies

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in A_n(a)} \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \le e^{14c^2 a}, \quad 0 < a \le 1.$$

Therefore, for all $n \ge n(a)$,

$$\inf_{x\in A_n(a)} K''(x) \ge e^{-30c^2 a}.$$

Since a may be as small as we wish, we conclude that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $A(x) \le \delta \Rightarrow K''(x) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$, or

$$A(x) \le \delta \;\Rightarrow\; A''(x) \le \varepsilon.$$

But this is the same as the condition (29.2).

It is rather surprising that the proof of Theorem 29.1 does not use tools based on the Fourier transform (except for the local limit theorem stated in Proposition 28.2).

30. Characterization in the periodic case

One can now apply Theorem 29.1 to the Laplace transforms $L(t)$ with

$$\psi(t) = L(t)\, e^{-t^2/2} = \mathbb{E}\, e^{tX}\, e^{-t^2/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{30.1}$$

being periodic with the smallest period $h > 0$. Assume that $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$, and

1) Z_n has density p_n for some $n = n_0$ such that $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) < \infty$;
2) X is strictly subgaussian, i.e. $L(t) \le e^{t^2/2}$, or equivalently $\psi(t) \le 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 30.1 ([20]). *For the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every $0 < t < h$,*

$$\psi(t) = 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \psi''(t) = 0. \tag{30.2}$$

Moreover, if the equation $\psi(t) = 1$ has no solution in $0 < t < h$, then

$$T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) = O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\,(\log n)^3\Big) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{30.3}$$

Indeed, due to $\psi(t)$ being analytic, the equation $\psi(t) = 1$ has finitely many solutions in the interval $[0, h]$, including the points $t = 0$ and $t = h$ (by the periodicity). Hence, the condition b) in Theorem 29.1 may be ignored, and we obtain that $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if and only if

$$A''(t) = 0 \text{ for every point } t \in [0, h] \text{ such that } A(t) = 0. \tag{30.4}$$

Here one may exclude the endpoints, since $A''(0) = A''(h) = 0$, by the strict subgaussianity and periodicity. As for the interior points $t \in (0, h)$, note that $A(t) = -\log \psi(t)$ has the second derivative

$$A''(t) = \frac{\psi'(t)^2 - \psi''(t)\psi(t)}{\psi(t)^2} = -\psi''(t)$$

at every point t such that $\psi(t) = 1$ (in which case $\psi'(t) = 0$ due to the property $\psi \le 1$). This shows that (30.4) is reduced to the condition (30.2).

As for the conclusion (30.3) about the rate of convergence, it is a full analogue of Theorem 27.1, and its proof is based on Corollary 26.3.

For an illustration of Theorem 30.1, let us return to the setting of Section 25, where we considered the Laplace transforms (30.1) with

$$\psi(t) = 1 - cP(t),$$

where $P(t)$ is a trigonometric polynomial satisfying

a) $P(0) = P'(0) = P''(0) = 0$;
b) $P(t) \ge 0$ for $0 < t < h$, where h is the smallest period of P.

As was emphasized before, if $c > 0$ is small enough (which is assumed below), then $L(t)$ is the Laplace transform of a strictly subgaussian random variable X with variance one and such that $T_\infty(p||\varphi) < \infty$, where p is a density of X. Hence, the conditions 1)-2) are fulfilled with $n_0 = 1$. Combining Theorem 30.1 with Corollary 25.4, we obtain:

Corollary 30.2. *Under the above conditions a) – b), $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if and only if, for every $0 < t < h$,*

$$P(t) = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; P''(t) = 0. \tag{30.5}$$

Moreover, if $P(t) > 0$ for all $0 < t < h$, then the convergence rate (30.3) holds true.

Example 30.3. Returning to Example 25.5, consider the transforms of the form

$$L(t) = (1 - c\sin^m(t))\, e^{t^2/2}$$

with an arbitrary even integer $m \geq 4$. In this case, the conditions in Corollary 30.2 are met, and we obtain the statement about the Rényi divergence of infinite order.

Example 30.4. Put

$$P(t) = (1 - 4\sin^2 t)^2 \sin^4 t.$$

Then, $P(t) = O(t^4)$, implying that $P(0) = P'(0) = P''(0) = 0$. Note that $P(t)$ is π-periodic, and $h = \pi$ is the smallest period, although

$$P(0) = P(t_0) = P(\pi) = 1, \quad t_0 = \pi/6.$$

All the assumptions of Corollary 30.2 are fulfilled for sufficiently small $c > 0$ with $h = \pi$, and we may check the condition (30.5). In this case,

$$P(t) = Q(t)^2, \quad Q(t) = (1 - 4\sin^2 t)\sin^2 t = \sin^2 t - 4\sin^4 t,$$

so that $P''(t) = Q'(t)^2$ at the points t such that $Q(t) = 0$, that is, for $t = t_0$. Hence $P''(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P'(t) = 0$. In our case,

$$Q'(t) = 2\sin t\cos t - 16\sin^3 t\cos t = \sin(2t)\,(1 - 8\sin^2 t),$$

and $Q'(t_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \neq 0$. Hence $P''(t_0) \neq 0$, showing that the condition (30.2) is not fulfilled. Thus, the CLT with respect to T_∞ does not hold in this example.

These two examples show that the continuity condition of A'' with respect A in Theorem 29.1 may or may not be fulfilled in general in the class of strictly subgaussian distributions. In other words, the convergence in T_∞ is (strictly) stronger than the convergence in all T_α simultaneously.

31. The multidimensional case

Theorem 29.1 has a natural generalization to the multidimensional setting. Let

$$Z_n = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

denote the normalized sum of the first n independent copies X_k of a (sub-Gaussian) random vector X in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with mean zero and identity covariance matrix. As in dimension one, the log-Laplace transform and the associated A-function

$$K(t) = \log \mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle}, \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{2}|t|^2 - K(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

are C^∞-smooth. We denote by $A''(t)$ the Hessian, that is, the matrix of second order partial derivatives of A at the point t. Thus,

$$A''(t) = I_d - K''(t).$$

Note that the matrix $K''(t)$ is positive definite, so that its determinant $\det K''(t)$ is positive.

We assume that:

1) Z_n has density p_n such that $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) < \infty$ for some $n = n_0$;
2) X is strictly subgaussian, that is, $A(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

According to Theorem 17.2 and Corollary 21.2 on the necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence in all D_α simultaneously with finite α, the conditions 1)-2) are necessary for the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ or equivalently $D_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$. The following characterization was recently obtained in [22].

Theorem 31.1. *For the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

a) $A''(t) = 0$ *for every point* $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $A(t) = 0$*;*
b) $\lim_{k\to\infty} A''(t_k) = 0$ *for every sequence* $t_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $|t_k| \to \infty$ *and* $A(t_k) \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

Similarly to (29.1), the conditions $a)-b)$ may be combined in the requirement

$$\lim_{A(t)\to 0} A''(t) = 0 \ \text{ or equivalently } \ \lim_{A(t)\to 0} K''(t) = I_d.$$

In [22] it was shown that these conditions may be stated in a formally weaker form

$a')$ $\det K''(t) = 1$ for every point $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $A(t) = 0$;
$b')$ $\lim_{k\to\infty} \det K''(t_k) = 1$ for every sequence $t_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|t_k| \to \infty$ and $A(t_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

It was already explained in the one dimensional situation that, assuming the strict sub-Gaussianity 2), the conditions $a)-b)$ may or may not hold in general. This shows that the convergence in D_∞ is stronger than the convergence in D_α simultaneously for all finite α. Nevertheless, for a wide class of strictly sub-Gaussian distributions the Laplace transform possesses a separation-type property

$$\sup_{|t|\geq t_0} \left[e^{-|t|^2/2}\, \mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle}\right] < 1 \quad \text{for all } t_0 > 0, \tag{31.1}$$

which is a multidimensional generalization of the condition (27.2). This is a strengthened form of condition 2), which entails properties $a) - b)$.

Corollary 31.2 ([22]). *If a random vector X with mean zero and identity covariance matrix satisfies (31.1), then $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

On the other hand, the case of equality in the sub-Gaussian bound (31.1) is quite possible, and one can observe new features in the multidimensional case. While in dimension one, an equality

$$L(t) = \mathbb{E}\, e^{\langle t, X\rangle} = e^{|t|^2/2}$$

is only possible for a discrete set of points t, in higher dimensions the set of points where this equality holds may have dimension $d-1$. In order to clarify this behavior, one may consider the class of Laplace transforms which contain periodic components. Specializing Theorem 31.1 to this class, the general characterization may be simplified in full analogue with Theorem 30.1.

Corollary 31.3 ([22]). *Suppose that the function $\psi(t) = L(t)e^{-|t|^2/2}$ is h-periodic for some vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ $(h \neq 0)$. For the convergence $T_\infty(p_n||\varphi) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every $t \in [0, h]$,*

$$\psi(t) = 1 \;\Rightarrow\; \psi''(t) = 0.$$

As for the proof of Theorem 31.1, let us only mention that the multidimensional situation turns out to be more complicated, since it requires a careful treatment of eigenvalues of the matrix $K''(t)$, when $A(t)$ approaches zero. Another ingredient in the proof is a quantitative version of the uniform local limit theorem – the multidimensional extension of Proposition 28.2, which was recently developed in [21].

Funding

The research has been supported by the NSF grant DMS-2154001 and the GRF – SFB 1283/2 2021 – 317210226.

References

[1] Arbel, J.; Marchal, O.; Nguyen, H. D. On strict sub-Gaussianity, optimal proxy variance and symmetry for bounded random variables. ESAIM Probab. Stat. 24 (2020), 39–55. MR4053001

[2] Artstein, S.; Ball, K. M.; Barthe, F.; Naor, A. Solution of Shannon's problem on the monotonicity of entropy. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), no. 4, 975–982. MR2083473

[3] Artstein, S.; Ball, K. M.; Barthe, F.; Naor, A. On the rate of convergence in the entropic central limit theorem. Probab. Theory Related Fields 129 (2004), no. 3, 381–390. MR2128238

[4] Barron, A. R. Entropy and the central limit theorem. Ann. Probab. 14 (1986), no. 1, 336–342. MR0815975

[5] Bhattacharya, R. N.; Rao, R. R. Normal approximation and asymptotic expansions. Updated reprint of the 1986 edition, corrected edition of the 1976 original. Classics in Applied Mathematics, 64. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2010. xxii+316 pp. MR3396213

[6] Bobkov, S. G. Entropic approach to E. Rio's central limit theorem for W_2-transport distance. Statist. Probab. Lett. 83 (2013), no. 7, 1644–1648. MR3062276

[7] Bobkov, S. G. Berry-Esseen bounds and Edgeworth expansions in the central limit theorem for transport distances. Probab. Theory Related Fields 170 (2018), no. 1-2, 229–262. MR3748324

[8] Bobkov, S. G. Local limit theorems for densities in Orlicz spaces. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 242 (2019), no. 1, Problems in mathematical analysis. No. 98, 52–68. MR3999206

[9] Bobkov, S. G. Decay of convolved densities via Laplace transform. Ann. Probab. 51 (2023), no. 5, 1603–1615. MR4641639

[10] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P. Entropy power inequality for the Rényi entropy. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 61 (2015), no. 2, 708–714. MR3332742

[11] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Non-uniform bounds in local limit theorems in case of fractional moments. I. Math. Methods Statist. 20 (2011), no. 3, 171–191. MR2908756

[12] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Non-uniform bounds in local limit theorems in case of fractional moments. II. Math. Methods Statist. 20 (2011), no. 4, 269–287. MR2886638

[13] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Bounds for characteristic functions in terms of quantiles and entropy. Electron. Commun. Probab. 17 (2012), no. 21, 9 pp. MR2943104

[14] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Rate of convergence and Edgeworth-type expansion in the entropic central limit theorem. Ann. Probab. 41 (2013), no. 4, 2479–2512. MR3112923

[15] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Berry-Esseen bounds in the entropic central limit theorem. Probab. Theory Related Fields 159 (2014), no. 3-4, 435–478. MR3230000

[16] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Rényi divergence and the central limit theorem. Ann. Probab. 47 (2019), no. 1, 270–323. MR3909970

[17] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Richter's local limit theorem, its refinement, and related results. Lithuan. Math. J. 63 (2023), no. 2, 138-160. MR4621090

[18] Bobkov, S. G.; Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. Strictly subgaussian distributions. Electron. J. Probab. 29 (2024), article no. 62, 1–28. MR4736269

[19] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F. Exponential integrability and transportation cost related to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 163 (1999), no. 1, 1–28. MR1682772

[20] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F. Central limit theorem for Rényi divergence of

infinite order. Ann. Probab. 53 (2025), no. 2, 453–477.
[21] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F. Berry-Esseen bounds in local limit theorems. Lithuan. Math. J. 65 (2025), no. 1, 50–66. MR4885697
[22] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F. Esscher Transform and the Central Limit Theorem. Preprint (2024), arXiv:2407.20726 [math.PR]. To appear in: J. Funct. Anal. (2025).
[23] Bobkov, S. G.; Gozlan, N.; Roberto, C.; Samson, P.-M. Bounds on the deficit in the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), no. 11, 4110–4138. MR3269872
[24] Bobkov, S. G.; Houdré, C.; Tetali, P. The subgaussian constant and concentration inequalities. Israel J. Math. 156 (2006), 255–283. MR2282379
[25] Bobkov, S. G.; Marsiglietti, A. Entropic CLT for smoothed convolutions and associated entropy bounds. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 21 (2020), 8057–8080. MR4176845
[26] Bolley, F.; Villani, C. Weighted Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker inequalities and applications to transportation inequalities. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 14 (2005), no. 3, 331–352. MR2172583
[27] Buldygin, V. V.; Kozachenko, Yu. V. Sub-Gaussian random variables. (Russian) Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 32 (1980), no. 6, 723–730. MR0598605
[28] Buldygin, V. V.; Kozachenko, Yu. V. Metric characterization of random variables and random processes. Translated from the 1998 Russian original by V. Zaiats. Transl. Math. Monogr., 188 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. xii+257 pp. MR1743716
[29] Carlen, E. A. Superadditivity of Fisher's information and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 101 (1991), no. 1, 194–211. MR1132315
[30] Cramér, H. On some classes of series used in mathematical statistics. Proc. 6th Scand. Math. Congr. Copenhagen, 1925, 399–425. Also: Harald Cramér. Collected works. Volume I, Ed. A. Martin-Löf, Springer-Verlag, 438–464. MR1280267
[31] Csiszár, I. Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 2 (1967), 299–318. MR0219345
[32] Csiszár, I. I-divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems. Ann. Probab. 3 (1975), 146–158. MR0365798
[33] Daniels, H. E. Saddlepoint approximations in statistics. Ann. Math. Statist. 25 (1954), 631–650. MR0066602
[34] Dembo, A., Cover, T. M., Thomas, J. A. Information-theoretic inequalities. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 37 (1991), no. 6, 1501–1518. MR1134291
[35] Esscher, F. On the probability function in the collective theory of risk. Skandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift. 15 (3) (1932), 175–195.
[36] Fomin, S. V. The central limit theorem: convergence in the norm $\|u\| = (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2(x)\, e^{x^2/2}\, dx)^{1/2}$. (Russian) Problems of the theory of prob. distributions, VII. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 119 (1982), 218–229. MR0666101
[37] Gilardoni, G. L. On Pinsker's and Vajda's type inequalities for Csiszár's

f-divergences. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 56 (2010), no. 11, 5377–5386. MR2808583

[38] Guionnet, A.; Husson, J. Large deviations for the largest eigenvalue of Rademacher matrices. Ann. Probab. 48 (2020), no. 3, 1436–1465. MR4112720

[39] Gnedenko, B. V.; Kolmogorov, A. N. Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables. Translated from the Russian, annotated, and revised by K. L. Chung, with appendices by J. L. Doob and P. L. Hsu. Revised edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills., Ont. 1968 ix+293. MR0233400

[40] Goldberg, A. A.; Ostrovskiĭ, I. V. The growth of entire ridge functions with real zeros. (Russian) Mathematical physics and functional analysis, No. V (Russian), pp. 3–10, 156. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Fiz.-Tehn. Inst. Nizkih Temperatur, Kharkov, 1974. MR0409809

[41] Gross, L. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), no. 4, 1061–1083. MR0420249

[42] Harremoës, P.; Vignat, C. A short information theoretic proof of CLT. Preprint (2004).

[43] Harremoës, P. Lower bounds for divergence in the central limit theorem. General theory of information transfer and combinatorics, 578–594. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 4123 Springer, Berlin, 2006. MR2495187

[44] Ibragimov, I. A.; Linnik, Yu. V. Independent and stationary sequences of random variables. With a supplementary chapter by I. A. Ibragimov and V. V. Petrov. Translation from the Russian edited by J. F. C. Kingman. Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1971. 443 pp. MR0322926

[45] Johnson, O. Information theory and the central limit theorem. Imperial College Press, London, 2004. xiv+209 pp. MR2109042

[46] Kahane, J.-P. Propriétés locales des fonctions à séries de Fourier aléatoires. Studia Math. 19 (1960), 1–25. MR0117506

[47] Khinchin, A. I. Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Mechanics. Translated by G. Gamow. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1949. viii+179 pp. MR0029808

[48] Kullback, S. A lower bound for discrimination in terms of variation. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory IT-13 (1967), 126–127.

[49] Le Cam, L. Asymptotic methods in statistical decision theory. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. xxvi+742 pp. MR0856411

[50] Ledoux, M. Concentration of measure and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII, 120–216, Lecture Notes in Math., 1709, Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR1767995

[51] Lieb, E. H. Some convexity and subadditivity properties of entropy. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 1–13. MR0356797

[52] Lieb, E. H. Proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl. Comm. Math. Phys. 62 (1978), no. 1, 35–41. MR0506364

[53] Linnik, Ju. V. An information-theoretic proof of the central limit theorem with Lindeberg conditions. (Russian) Theor. Probability Appl. 4 (1959),

288–299. MR0124081
[54] Madiman, M.; Barron, A. Generalized entropy power inequalities and monotonicity properties of information. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 53 (2007), no. 7, 2317–2329. MR2319376
[55] Marcinkiewicz, J. Sur une propriété de la loi de Gauß. (French) Math. Z. 44 (1939), no. 1, 612–618. MR1545791
[56] Matskyavichyus, V. K. A lower bound for the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem. (Russian) Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 28 (1983), no. 3, 565–569. MR0716313
[57] Newman, C. M. Inequalities for Ising models and field theories which obey the Lee-Yang theorem. Comm. Math. Phys. 41 (1975), 1–9. MR0376061
[58] Newman, C. M. Moment inequalities for ferromagnetic Gibbs distributions. J. Mathematical Phys. 16 (1975), no. 9, 1956–1959. MR0391853
[59] Newman, C. M. An extension of Khintchine's inequality. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), no. 5, 913–915. MR0375458
[60] Newman, C.; Wu, W. Lee-Yang property and Gaussian multiplicative chaos. Comm. Math. Phys. 369 (2019), no. 1, 153–170. MR3959556
[61] Petrov, V. V. Local limit theorems for sums of independent random variables. (Russian) Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. 9 (1964), 343–352. MR0163341
[62] Petrov, V. V. Sums of independent random variables. Translated from the Russian by A. A. Brown. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 82. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. x+346 pp. MR0388499
[63] Prokhorov, Yu. V. A local theorem for densities. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 83 (1952), 797–800. MR0049501
[64] Ranga Rao, R.; Varadarajan, V. S. A limit theorem for densities. Sankhyā 22 (1960), 261–266. MR0131887
[65] Richter, W. Lokale Grenzwertsätze für grosse Abweichungen. (Russian) Teor. Veroyatnost i Primenen. 2 (1957), 214–229. MR0092260
[66] Rio, E. Upper bounds for minimal distances in the central limit theorem. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 45 (2009), no. 3, 802–817. MR2548505
[67] Shiryaev, A. N. Probability. Translated from the first (1980) Russian edition by R. P. Boas. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 95. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. xvi+623 pp. MR1368405
[68] Sirazhdinov, S. H.; Mamatov, M. On mean convergence for densities. Theory Probab. Appl. 7 (1962), no. 4, 424–428. MR0143237
[69] Stam, A. J. Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon. Information and Control 2 (1959), 101–112. MR0109101
[70] Talagrand, M. Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures. Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), no. 3, 587–600. MR1392331
[71] van Erven, T.; Harremoës, P. Rényi divergence and Kullback-Leibler divergence. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 60 (2014), no. 7, 3797–3820. MR3225930

[72] Villani, C. Topics in optimal transportation. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 58. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xvi+370 pp. MR1964483